

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 20, NO. 12

NOVEMBER 1995

Stinking Verdict

The fix was in even before the minority-ridden defense, the minority-ridden prosecution and the minority judge cranked up the Simpson trial. The power structure in the zoo without cages known as Los Angeles, that urban disaster well on the way to becoming once again La Ciudad de Los Angeles, wanted no repeat of the billion-dollar Rodney King riot.

Instead of holding the trial in Santa Monica, where Brentwood crimes are supposed to be ironed out and where the jury pool is overwhelmingly white, District Attorney Gil Garcetti, himself a minorityite, moved the case downtown, where jurors are overwhelmingly nonwhite. Then he promised that the prosecution would not seek the death penalty. On the slight chance that O.J. would be found guilty, the verdict could then be blamed on a largely black jury. With no death sentence in the offing, L.A. blacks would be unable to resort to increasingly violent "free Simpson" demonstrations as the execution day drew near.


So there you have it. The outcome of the trial was preordained before Judge Ito-san pushed the "on" button on his lap top. No black would convict a mulatto hero, even if he stood up in the courtroom and shot perky Marcia Clark, who speaks fluent Spanish and Hebrew, point-blank in her expensively reconstructed nose in full view of 100 million couch potatoes. How could a juror who voted "guilty" return to his or her ghetto, gilded or otherwise, and face his or her brothers and sisters?

Sure, Simpson was a wife beater. Sure, Johnnie Cochran, his witch doctor, was a wife beater. But such crimes were mere bagatelles, compared to the trespasses of Mark Fuhrman, who was a walking, talking Holocaust and the evildest man since Adolf Hitler. Anyway, as the jurors quickly learned, the wrong person was on trial. The real defendants were Fuhrman and the L.A.P.D.—a spin with which the media heartily agreed.

All the pretrial maneuvering and finagling of the L.A. city fathers was for one specific purpose: to avoid another insurrection (53 dead), like the one that followed the Simi Valley verdict of acquittal by an all-white jury of the white cops who played the heavies in the videotaped beating of recidivist Rodney King. A hung jury in the Simpson travesty would have been acceptable for the bosses but, unlike the blacks, the two white jurors didn't have the guts to stick up for their own race.

The pretrial political wheeling and dealing in the Simpson case amounted to an outright perversion of justice, which led inexorably to one of the greatest of all perversions of justice.

Justice, or rather injustice, in this country has now reached the point where it depends on what color skin you're wearing. When we ask blacks what gives, they tell us we wouldn't understand, "It's a black thing." They're wrong. We are beginning to understand. The question is, will enough of us understand before it is too late?



The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

Δ Homeric epics were truly heroic. The Holocaust is the ultimate in self-pity. Pity the poor Gentiles, who must find their nobility in the imagination of others.

113

Δ When you get to know a Mexican well enough to talk frankly, he will candidly admit that the main purpose of any Latino politician is to steal as much as he can for himself, family and friends.

337

Δ "UN Tribunal Indicts 24 Serbs for Genocide," shrieks the headline. Today Serbia; tomorrow Idaho?

475

Δ Jews often compare the arguments of Holocaust™ revisionists with the agenda of the Flat Earth Society. What would be the reaction, if any, of the missing links in the editorial department of any mainstream newspaper if a judge sentenced a Round Earth "denier" to a stretch in the pokey?

330

Δ To my annoyance the word "gay" has now won total acceptance as a term connoting homosexuality. At dinner one night with five WASP conservatives (all straights), no one blinked an eye at its use. Okay, if you can bastardize my language, then I claim the right to use fruit, queer, fairy, pansy, poof and so on.

190

Δ Making my way through the pre-flight security check before boarding an airliner at a domestic airport not long ago, I began thinking about the ethnic and ra-

cial makeup of the folks who do the screening. Largely speaking, they are foreign, Third World, nonwhite and unable to "spick" the Queen's English. Why, I thought, would such people be entrusted with such an important task? Simple. Who are better choices than Third Worlders to finger potential smugglers, terrorists and whackos? An Israeli security firm, the exclusive agent for pre-flight checking a number of U.S. airlines serving Europe, has long been successful in spotting dope-runners from the Middle East. Why Israelis? Who else over the ages have come to know more about smuggling?

220

Δ As always is the case with *Instauration*, the August 1995 issue was a lifeboat amid the sea of lies pounding our eroding shores.

420

Δ Leftists are such self-righteous hypocrites. They piously beg their fellow Americans to "stop the hate." But have you ever watched the reaction to skinheads on talk shows? I see hatred in the eyes and body language of the audience and hear it in the minority members' venomous words. These hatefests have much in common with the daily hate orgies of Orwell's 1984.

240

Δ It is rumored that when Sir Herbert Samuel arrived in Palestine to take charge as the High Commissioner, the departing British military governor handed him a receipt stating, "Delivered—one Palestine." Sir Herbert handed it back signed, "Received." Apocryphal or not, the anecdote accurately summarizes the whole shoddy truth behind the "return to the Promised Land." A cozy little deal between friends—à la Versailles.

725

Δ Re Jewish control of Disney: Perhaps it's been going on longer than we think. Clip off the ears of a Mouseketeer cap and you are left with a yarmulke.

752

Δ I saw a reference the other day to "Famine Studies!" Has the ring of "Holocaust Studies," doesn't it? I see this as a very positive development. We're getting in on the game here. Fighting fire with fire, so to speak.

302

Δ I laughed till I thought I'd gag, watching all those U.S. senators ducking, dodging and running around in circles while inveighing against Randy Weaver's assertion of ZOG's control of America. The

very desperation and vehemence of the denials exposed them as Zionist lickspittles. Had one, just one, dared to say something like, "Well, I can certainly understand why Randy Weaver feels that way. Our Jewish friends do have a lot of money and power." Whoever said that would be back home selling vacuum cleaners faster than you can gasp "Bob Packwood."

278

Δ As a schoolgirl in northern California during the 1960s, I was taken on field trips to historical Gold Rush sites. The guides would make much over the miners' dislike of the Chinese and would talk contemptuously of the "peril of the yellow hordes" overwhelming the state. As a child I thought, "What terrible racists those miners were." Now I think, "Gosh, they were right!"

950

Δ My husband and I found ourselves wondering why so many Jews become podiatrists. He decided it was all that wandering in the Sinai that made them foot specialists (or foot fetishists). I thought maybe it was also due to their scooting around Europe with heavy bags of gold as they were kicked out of one country after another.

234

Δ May a proud Welsh American put in a plug for a fine movie, very few of which are produced these days? *The Englishman Who Went Up a Hill and Came Down a Mountain* is an enriching film experience, even if the Englishman in the starring role happens to be Hugh Grant, who was caught slumming with a black

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilnot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1995 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

CONTENTS

The Fall of the House of Usurp. . .	4
The Mexican Invasion.	7
A Second Slap in the Face.	10
The Village People.	10
Why the Angst and Anger?	11
Don't Cry for the Bosnian Muslims. . .	13
No Free Speech for Revisionists. . .	15
Is Elizabeth Holtzman a Perjurer? .	16
Jewish Defense League Loses One. .	17
Zionism and Oil.	17
Serious Population Control.	18
Racial Disproportion in Crime. . .	18
FBI Paid Mobster to Find Bodies. .	19
Broken Contract With America. . .	20
Backtalk.	21
Primate Watch.	23
Talking Numbers.	24
Inklings.	25
Cultural Catacombs.	26
Waspishly Yours.	27
Satcom Sam.	28
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	30
Elsewhere.	31

hooker in Beverly Hills. Wales is the real star of this film—it and the beautiful Welsh people who float in and out of the breathtaking scenery. There isn't one, not one, non-Northern European in the whole picture. That in itself is worth the price of admission. I heartily encourage all Instaurationists to view this magnificent effort of the Welsh National Trust. Those faces up there on the screen are worth more than all of Uncle Sam's cherished Third Worlders put together.

782

Δ Zip 121's stuff is terrific. In the last several months I would find myself reading something especially good and think to myself, "I bet 121 wrote this one." Sure enough, after checking the bottom of the text, I found he did. Now, I go straight to those pieces and read them first. Kudos! He's not the new Vic Olvir, but he's mighty good!

964

Δ When the history of the white race is written by its successors, they will note its apogee was 1945-50, the period when the U.S., due to its nuclear monopoly, unlike any nation in history, could have ruled the world. It not only failed to do so, but didn't even perceive the opportunity.

833

Δ Jews are 1/50th or so of the U.S. population. But, unprecedentedly, they are probably the largest single group in the Clinton administration. Their power is shown by the fact that this is not mentioned in the media.

102

Δ Jews charge that referring to the Turkish massacre of Armenians as a Holocaust or even genocide amounts to criminal (in many European countries) denial of the uniqueness of Shoahbiz. Here's my idea: Any reference to a mass killing, such as happened in Bosnia, Rwanda and Cambodia, must be preceded by the disclaimer, *Warning! Neither this nor any other event compares to the Holocaust, nor has any people suffered comparably to the Jews.*

566

Δ If Mark Fuhrman was truly the evil racist O.J.'s lawyers made him out to be, he would rejoice in Simpson's bloody deed and would have tried to help him win so the Juice could possibly do it again at some future point in time. A real white racist finds satisfaction in justice being meted out to a white race-mixing slut who produced two hybrid offspring.

089

Δ I have a friend who says there's a sea change of feeling in the country and the tide is running our way. I tell him it's too late because of demographics. The low-

IQ underclasses are here now and we don't have the courage to sterilize them. Medicaid births are 60% in Florida at present. A black doctor I know says virtually all of these babies are heading for welfare or prison.

323

Δ Because I am on the road so much of the time, I always vote by absentee ballot. When my application arrived in the mail, I thought, "This may be the last time I vote." Colin Powell seems like a perfectly decent man, but I am fed up with the hysterical hype of his virtues being foisted on us by the media. I always reluctantly promised myself that if a black were on the presidential ticket, I'd give up voting. When my compatriots can no longer select a white candidate, I need no longer exert myself at the polls. (Incidentally, I have a flawless voting record.)

190

Δ At the checkout counter of my local supermarket, I heard this incredibly idiotic comment by an elderly white woman: "They're giving O.J. a lot of guff because he wants to get married again. What's the problem? His wife died. Let the man get married again in peace." To think that this creature has the right to vote!

350

Δ The Chosen are complaining about a resurgence of anti-Semitism. Sort of like complaining about Newton's Third Law: Every action has an equal and opposite reaction.

110

Δ Many of those manning the drive-through lines of urban fast-food eateries have at best a rudimentary grasp of English. Combined with the poor acoustics of the ordering system, this makes it uncertain just what is in the take-out bag!

880

Δ As a recent Washington Post article indicated, our rulers are schizophrenic about race. On the one hand, race is impossible to define. On the other hand, should a millionaire one-eighth black Jamaican manage to reach our shores, he would be eligible for all the perks of a coal-black descendant of Kunta Kinte!

328

Δ We in Texas are doubly blessed. We have Black History Month in February, then Hispanic Heritage Month from September to October. Can you imagine the reaction if Anglos dared suggest White or European-American Heritage Month?

753

Δ Boston is to get a Holocaust memorial, so reports a Beantown friend. Located behind the state capitol, the monument is to be made up of four steeply-rising

towers of translucent material, in the base of which will be seen the red fires of the "cremation ovens." Despite the relative proportions of Jews and Irish in the city, there are no plans afoot for construction of a museum of the potato famine.

914

Δ Feminists imagine they do not need the protection of men, just as rebellious teenagers imagine they do not need the protection of their parents. Feminists flee in terror from "stalking," "sexual harassment" and other demons even as they sing, "I am woman, I am invincible."

472

Δ The people depend on the media for their information, just as a patient depends upon his doctor for proper treatment. The doctor has the highest duty conceivable, but the media, masters of disinformation, are held to be amateurs at the art of telling the truth. Killing or maiming a single individual through botched therapy is a high crime, but brainwashing an entire population is a mere difference of opinion.

724

Δ On Sept. 15, CNN mentioned that the L.A. police plan to root out anyone who thinks like Mark Fuhrman. So now we have thought crimes. How will these be detected? Monitoring off-duty conversations? Magazine subscriptions?

960

Δ The N.Y. Times recently had an article exulting over the yellowing of Australia, on how positively wonderful it is that so many "Nguyens" are in the Melbourne phone book. The Times neglected to say how many "Smiths" are in the Hanoi phone book?

511

Δ I'm all for mandatory sensitivity training on AIDS, race, anti-Semitism—all the PC topics. What the recipients will become "sensitive" to is their own abasement, hastening the Day of Reckoning!

409

Time to Send in Your Nominations for Majority Renegade of the Year

The man or woman who by his or her deeds or words best qualifies for the title will be "honored" in the January issue. All nominations received before December 15 will appear in the Safety Valve.

The Fall of the House of Usurp

As our readers must know by now, I tend to look at life from the viewpoint of a loyal, proud Southerner. Having said that, my affection for my people and my region does not blind me to the fine folks in other parts of the country.

While I will always be proud to stand with all 24-carat Americans, there is one ostensibly Majority group that I can do without. If other Americans knew their history better, they would join us Southerners in despising these vermin. Who are they? Read on.

When Majority Americans bemoan the decay of our country, it is common for them to point to the displacement of the so-called Old Money crowd by Jews. They take it as proof that the country is going to hell when the East Hampton, Harvard and J.P. Morgan set is dethroned. For heaven's sake! All those money-grubbing, garlic-washed, boorish Jews shoving their way into the private preserves of the people ordained by God to run America!

The decline of the Eastern Establishment has hit home with many of our people. How can it be that Yale and Princeton, the exclusive clubs and boarding schools, the cushy jobs at the top, no longer belong to the people who ought to run them? Isn't it proof of our decline when the "elite" are sent packing and the counsel of the Wise Men is no longer given so much as lip service?

How sad to see the Ruling Class elbowed out of the way, to see the Northeastern poobahs given the bum's rush by a swarm of hillbillies and cowboys, Californian weirdos, hicks from Iowa and those ghastly Southerners with those horrid accents!

Well, we have an answer to the Yankee Aristocracy that has lost its grip after losing its nerve. Hasta la vista, baby! Adios! Scram! Auf Wiedersehen! Ciao! Bon Voyage! Vaya Con Dios! Sayonara! In other words, get Lost!

The plain and simple truth about the Yankee Aristocracy is that it consists of the depleted, degenerate descendants of a foul pack of bottom dealers, second story men, road agents, ten percenters and plunderers—human debris so abandoned and morally corrupt as to disgust the crew of a pirate ship. Bluebeard himself would gag at sitting down at table with them.

The Eastern Establishment is nothing more than the descendants of the bipedal lice who infested our country at the time of the Civil War and who used that national tragedy to wedge themselves into the fattest and most succulent places at the American trough. They were not fit for leadership then and nothing in the past 130 years has improved the stock. Americans will never reclaim their rights until the last one of these pseudo-patricians is sent to chopping cotton and digging ditches, as a fitting atone-

ment for the crimes perpetrated against the last four generations of ordinary, decent countrymen.

Few Americans today understand this, but before the Civil War there was no plutocratic class in the United States, no floating layer of smash-and-grab artists drifting across the landscape like garbage floating in New York harbor. Admittedly there were wealthy men, North and South, who had local importance and, in a few instances, could exert some influence on the nation as a whole. But for the most part the solid, broad middle class of farmers and artisans controlled politics and set the tone for the country at large.

The South had a traditional aristocracy of sorts, many descended from the English gentry. This select group was joined by those who had pulled themselves up by their bootstraps to become "Cotton Snobs"—wealthy planters who could and did live in what for the times passed as grand style. In the North the solid merchant class generally held the social position occupied in the South by the planters. As for the West (what we call the Midwest), there was little of anything but hard-working families trying to carve out a new land. Ditto for the more recently settled parts of Texas, Arkansas and Missouri.

In those days power was roughly divided between the South and the North. In point of fact, the North had been more powerful in Congress for many years before the Civil War and had used that power to fasten unfair and damaging (to the South) tariffs on imported goods, such as farm machinery and other items. The South produced by far most of the country's exports and paid most of the nation's bills with its cotton and tobacco profits. This money, which first flowed into the South, just as quickly flowed out again in payment for Northern products, which included clothing and food, much of it for slaves.

The South, having failed to industrialize, was forced to import or buy from the North. The high tariffs, imposed by a Congress controlled by the North, ensured that the South had to deal with a closed, high-priced Northern market. Southerners were not unmindful of this drain on their finances, nor were they unaware of the vast sums paid out by the South in tariffs that were then diverted to so-called "internal improvements" in the North. It must be said, in defense of the North, that the South should have invested in factories and machines, not in slaves. The North was right to protect its markets from foreign competition. Latin America is still paying for this crucial mistake. The problem was that the North sought only to benefit the North, not the entire country. That was a principal reason for the War of Secession.

Southerners, shrewd and clever in politics, saw exactly

where the economic and social currents in the country were heading. The North was growing in wealth, population and political power. Had this been of local benefit to the North, it would not have been a problem. The problem was that the North was falling into the grip of men who were actively hostile to the South and wished to crush it politically and economically. These Northern men, financiers and industrialists for the most part, with a few Abolitionist fanatics thrown in for moral flavor, had looked into the future and foreseen the nation's staggering potential. They knew that with its human and material resources, without external enemies, the sky was the limit. Their only roadblock was the South.

Because of the unique social and economic system of the South, it was largely free of direct control by the Northern finance capitalists. It wasn't entirely free, but it constituted a powerful counterweight to Northern financial interests. The moneybags realized all too well that the South, allied with farmers and workers in the North, would hold a veto over Northern plans to seize control of the wealth of the United States.

I say "United States" with good reason. While the Northern capitalists were on the surface "free enterprise" types, their dreams were based on seizing for their private benefit the vast riches in land, timber, gold, coal, iron ore, silver, copper and scads of other minerals and resources discovered in the huge undeveloped West. They also had definite plans to pass laws to allow the creation of monopolies and cartels and thus stifle competition from abroad and at home. With the South out of the way, there would be no power on earth to oppose them. They would grind under their heels the farmers and the workers of the North, just as they would reduce Southerners to serfdom.

Intelligent Southerners realized what was coming and wanted no part of it. Called "reckless" and "fire-breathers" by many generations of Northern historians, though they were anything but that, they decided—correctly—to leave the Union. It was a terrible tragedy for both the South and the country as a whole that they were not successful. Had the South been able to break free, the North would have probably come to its senses and the Northern financiers would have been scorned and repudiated for having split the country in two. North and South would have almost certainly gotten together again, but this time on a basis of sectional equality. The riches of the U.S. would have been developed more slowly and evenly, to the benefit of the entire nation, not just a small gang of plutocrats. Slavery, called by many the root cause of the war, would have withered away as a result of the mechanization of agriculture, as it did everywhere else in the Western Hemisphere.

Sad to say, the South lost the war. More than 600,000 young American men died and hundreds of thousands more were permanently maimed. Millions of American children never saw the light of day. Many millions of Euro-kids came to replace them. Some were of good, strong stock. Others were the offscourings of overseas ghettos and poorhouses.



The Civil War doomed both sides

The South, which had given the United States its finest leaders since the early years of the republic and which had kept the greed and fanaticism of certain sectors in the Northeast in check, was utterly destroyed. It would not rise again to have any meaningful political power until after WWII. It would become a backwater, the third of the country that was never spoken of, the national shame.

The entire face of America changed. In order to fill their factories with cheap labor, Northern industrialists imported huge numbers of willing hands from any region that would send them. The ethnic makeup of our country was permanently transformed. Need I say that it was during this portentous genetic shift that the Chosen gained their first real foothold here.

The country fell into the hands of a cynical, amoral cabal of cutthroats and cutpurses. Their greed and dishonesty knew no bounds. The United States, which in its first 80 years had amazed the jaded states of Old Europe with the upright and principled conduct of its public affairs, astounded the world anew by performing a gigantic belly flop into the muck of corruption, as it wallowed in a cloaca of financial finagling and political double-dealing seldom seen in the Western world.

This was the "Gilded Age," the age of the Robber Barons, the "great men" who "built up America," as if it had been a cow pasture before they bounded onto the scene. The Harrimans, the Rockefellers, the Swifts, the Morgans, the Vanderbilts and all the rest ran the United States as if it was their personal property—and it was.

True, they turned America into an industrial giant and world power, but they also turned it into a centralized empire, relegating the old Federal ideal to the dustbin of time.

For many, these “accomplishments” and the forcible ending of slavery were such colossal events that they absolved those responsible of any further sins. I beg to differ.

As a Southerner, I have never accepted as legitimate or worthy of my personal respect or loyalty, the piratical rabble that has controlled this country since 1865. For me, the United States of America died in that cursed year. I speak not just of the South, my country and the home of my people, but of my nation, America, which ceased to be the federal republic created by the Founding Fathers. At Appomattox the people of the North lost as much as the people of the South.

Having lived some years outside the South, I have noted with no little amusement the respect that a lot of Northerners pay to the Ivy League descendants of earlier generations of Robber Barons. Having never perceived the Eastern Establishment as anything but a mess of usurpers and bastard sons, I am unable to partake of this reverence.

Usurpers they were and are. The grinning skulls and skeleton fingers of more than a half-million dead Union and Confederate soldiers will point at them for all eternity. The eyes of sharecropper’s children and mill workers will glare at them like burning coals, as they stand barefoot in the factories, condemned to destitution and useless lives by the greed of a handful of faux aristocrats and Civil War draft dodgers. It is said that when a company gets a labor union, it gets the kind of labor union it deserves. Well, a country gets the kind of aristocracy it deserves.

If our homemade “upper class” had proven itself worthy of the responsibilities of leadership, we would not have all that much to complain about. History, we recall, is the story of war, destruction and defeat, as well as peace, victory and progress. If it took the destruction of the South and the crushing of the old American yeoman class to “build” the great American nation, perhaps it might have been worth it, at least when seen from the cosmic span of time.

But this was not to be. The ugly truth is that this plundering horde of WASP elitists squandered the promise of our nation. We had one shot for true greatness, and they blew it. They cheated destiny by hijacking America into the chaos of a murderous civil conflict. We have never ceased paying for it.

Without pressure from Northern factory owners, we would never have seen the great waves of immigration that changed America from a nation largely descended from English, Welsh, Scots and Irish, to a racial crazy quilt that will never be a nation. But it wasn’t only the immigrants that Robber Barons imported that changed our country. It was also the idea that America was a dumping ground for all the failures of the earth. The Robber Baron crowd, neutral on the subject of blacks, who were considered to be no more than working hands, made an unholy pact with the devil when they got in bed with the lunatic Northern Abolitionists, the first men to actually kill fellow whites on behalf of a lower, primitive race. (Yankees are always asking why we are still fighting the Civil War. The reason is

the horrendous decision of Northerners to take sides with blacks against fellow white Americans in the South—a monstrous crime unparalleled in the annals of humanity).

The history of the so-called American Establishment is an endless, grotesque story. It started with a tidal wave of internecine blood, continued throughout the shameful history of Reconstruction and the enslavement of the American working man, our inexcusable involvement in two world wars, the ruination of the racial composition of our country and our current swan dive into incipient ethnic warfare and political collapse.

A few months ago I had the opportunity to attend a small, intimate meeting with a distinguished American diplomat, now retired, who spoke on the subject of the decline of the Eastern Establishment. He was one of the better examples of this diminishing breed, a dyed-in-the-wool conservative, mightily distressed by the eclipse of what he was no doubt taught to be his country’s “Ruling Class.” He spoke frankly and forthrightly about the rise of the South and West and asserted with absolute certainty that those regions would soon seize control.

I looked around the room at my colleagues, most of them typical Northeast liberals. Great was my mirth as I watched them screwing up their faces and raising this and that pitiful objection to the man’s analysis of the situation (which, incidentally, was masterful). They saw their whole, cozy world crumbling and a herd of Southern galoots and Western cowboys trampling the grass at Harvard Yard and putting the cattle out to graze on their golf courses.

It’s payback time. The North used illegal force and violence to take control of my country. As illegitimate kings usually are, they were unequal to the positions to which they aspired. Money could not buy them out of the swamp they had wandered into. They ravished and wrecked our nation. Now, dear Establishmentarians, please get out of the way and let us go about the job of rebuilding. But don’t think for one minute that we will forget what you did to us.

N.B. FORREST

Ponderable Quote

What is morality? Morality is physical, intellectual and moral fitness, a healthy body, a healthy mind and a healthy soul; above all, a joy in virility, wisdom and beauty. The Christian Church anathematized these three, basing its dogmas upon the doctrines expounded by Christ in *The Sermon upon the Mount* and by St. Paul in his *Epistles*. Poverty is exalted, marriage decried, evil is not to be resisted, and no thought is to be taken for the morrow. Not only did these subversive doctrines go far towards wrecking the Roman Empire, but today, in their final forms of democracy, socialism and communism, they are likely to wreck Western civilization more certainly than famine, plague and war. It is internal degeneracy, and not external cataclysms, which has hitherto littered the world with destroyed civilizations, and which today, . . . is far advanced in all lands in which democracy in its several forms holds sway.

Major-General J.F.C. Fuller, *The Dragon’s Teeth*

The Mexican Invasion

Is the United States in danger of being invaded by Mexico? Is it possible for a country traditionally run by ignorant, sawed-off tyrants and gangster dictators to defeat the world's one and only superpower? Is it really possible for a dirty, backward Third World country to conquer America?

First let me ask readers not to quibble over my use of the name "America." There is only one American nation. No one owes allegiance or accrues citizenship in the geographical and increasingly less meaningful demographic regions known as North America, Latin America, Central America or South America. The use of the nation's other name is still acceptable almost everywhere except in our children's multiculturally revised public school textbooks. Our kids are instructed, "We are not the only Americans." Just because some minority racist says so? I think not.

Incredibly, a Mexican invasion is not only possible, it is a *fait accompli*. The war, which most of us missed, is over. As usual, we Americans slept right through another fundamental shift in our national identity, history, politics, religion, culture and future. The controlled media, to which we unfailingly subscribe, blather on and on about some supposed race war, fomented by militias or Republicans or neo-Nazis (choose the most fashionable group or concoct a new variant). It's all a smoke screen for the actual race war that has already been fought—and lost.

It was a silent war of demographics. Every successful war in history is nothing more—or less—than the proverbial "shift in demographics." Race war is birthing war. Race war is immigration war. Race war is the war against subsidizing high rates of minority illegitimate births. In the race war we just lost, the demographic change was not a mere shift but a sea change.

I'm not talking about single instances of urban disaster, like long-darkened New York City. By 2010, Mexicans will be the majority population in the two most populous states in the Union—Texas and California. The invaders will also control the voting booths and politics of several other states, including Colorado, Arizona and New Mexico.

The media of yesterday declared, "Go West, Young (white) Man!" The settlers filled up the plains and demanded, "Statehood Now!" Today the West shrivels and shrinks in the face of mestizo war cries of "Aztlán Now!" Radical and even secret Mexican revolutionary gangs claim they are taking the West back, as if they ever had it. From the very beginning, the inhabitants of this land waged unceasing, intractable war with nature and with each other. Only the white man put an end to such warring.

Newcomer Mexicans claim to be a mishmash of Aztec, Spanish, mestizo and, most recently, Amerindian. The

invaders have become politically acceptable, because of their inherent "diversity." In modern parlance, this means the Mexicans are "nice." A TV spot shows a youth recreation official in Houston, a white old enough to know better, gazing at a gathering of thousands of Mexican children and exclaiming, "Beautiful! Your diversity makes you beautiful!"

Several ironies cannot be avoided. The most romantic and durable achievement of the white man is his conquering and settling of the pristine West, summarized by F.J. Turner's definition of Manifest Destiny—God's law, the law of nature, Lockean theory, and yes, the irresistible, white itch to civilize, to bring order out of chaos.

The Founding Fathers of the Republic of Texas, specifically claiming descent from the American Founders, were so scornful of Mexicans that the 1836 Declaration of Independence declared they were undeserving of freedom because they had cowardly refused, even when implored by ravaged white settlers, to confront the tyranny of their rulers in Mexico City. After that, Mexicans were specifically banished from taking part in the Texas civil and social order.

Have the Mexicans as a group or Mexico as a nation done anything to make us, the posterity of the Founders, think any differently about them? The one change since the last century is the Mexicans' campaign to take America from the Americans. Their most celebrated leaders, entertainers, and so on, are almost all gringo haters who want to steal from the American till for the Mexican cause. I refer specifically to Enrique Cisneros ("Henry" to his



Enrique (call me Henry) Cisneros

duped Anglo friends), the Housing and Urban Development secretary who apparently lied to his wife, family and the FBI about his payoffs to a former paramour. He makes payoffs of another kind to his supporters—billions of dollars in welfare and free minority housing. Along with the Mexican invasion of the land, the American piggy bank is also looted.

When a Mexican Latino boy or Latina girl (they now have their own gender sub-groups) calls someone an Anglo, it means roughly the same thing as

gringo. Anglo is a racial slur, an inside joke that characterizes members of the weak-willed, cowardly white race. Though whites come in many nationalities, Mexicans have latched onto the English variety, because of English comic images of whites as limp-wristed, rainy-day, do-nothing couch potatoes, cross-dressers and fags. Similarly, there is no Angla corresponding to Latina, because Anglo women are not perceived as independent, political or feminine enough to rate their own category.

The invading Mexicans and their litany of domestic and alien supporters and politically correct activists have readily grasped the simple truth that even the most "conservative" American whites will no longer admit, let alone understand, that the racial alien was once banished from ever acquiring American citizenship. Today the racial alien is accorded citizenship as a matter of priority over our European kindred.

This all-important giveaway proves that the insipid TV talk-show mentality of the general public translates into a kind of TV game-show politics. Citizenship is no longer meaningful because of the loss of its exclusiveness, which is part of the very definition of citizenship. The illegals now realize that they no longer need this formality.

The invaders intently watch and listen to the Anglo for signs of self-defense. There are none. As they scan the political horizon, they hear and see nothing about the old ideals of liberty. All they hear is something like this from the politicians and institutions: "What America needs is understanding, compassion, kindness, and respect, a common ground where all our people of all nations and ethnicities and creeds can stand, where all of God's children will be able to join hands." Such mumbo-jumbo passes as the highest form of civil discourse.

Only in America's white political creed did we once find strident but moral individualism. It was from such individualism that the commonwealth compact was formed and defended. Being that this type of individualism no longer reigns as the acceptable standard, our commonwealth has descended into collectivism, marked by the rise of heathen moral relativity or moral license, mistakenly called individual freedom. That which we held in "common wealth" has been traded for a mess of "common impoverishment."

As South Africa has its Mandela and his plan for a black racist "Azania," so we have the mestizo Mexicans with their call for a racial "Aztländ." Just as Mandela directed the preliminary stages of the black takeover of South Africa while in prison, so many of the future leaders of Aztländ are conspiring while serving time in California and Texas jails. In Texas they are housed in segregated cell blocks to stop their violence against white and Negro guards and prisoners. Even the nonviolent Mexican criminals are accorded a special status and given treatment, housing and favors unavailable to jail-house whites and Negroes.

How so? One reason is that Texas and other states are undergoing "Third Worldization." Minority hiring quotas have been turned into minority havens in state govern-

ments. Without adequate white supervision, mismanagement, budget crises and officially excused criminal racketeering become the order of the day.

The prisoners' outside organizations are now as strong and vocal as the NAACP and the ACLU. From their jail cells, Mexicans correspond with other imprisoned brothers, with gangs and with the most active Latino and Latina special interest groups. Much of their prison time is spent devising and articulating their ad hoc racial myths.

Working with such groups as La Raza (The Race) and LULAC (Latins United for Latin-American Concerns), the locked-up Mexicans plan their revolution. Outside, every Hispanic civic and social event becomes an Aztec-Aztländ festival and high-profile showcase, confronting whites with

The new face of Uncle Sam



The British version of the situation (Daily Mail, April 5, 1990)

troubling glimpses of their dismal future. Unity is their top priority, whether as members of La Raza, LULAC or conniving criminal gangs such as the Mexican Mafia and the Texas Syndicate. The latest word from the Drug Enforcement Agency is that Mexicans are taking over the old Colombian cells in America and now account for 50% (and growing) of all cocaine traffic. As in Oriental society, both the law-abiding and the lawless find a higher plane in uncompromised racial solidarity. In contrast whites still go in for self-government and no one is supposed to be above the law. As to the Aztländers, the ultimate dedication is to their own. They see the white immobilized by what he calls the rule of law.

Consider the New England states. In the sometimes snowbound Northeast, Mexicans and other Latin American refugees are becoming the new "Irish." Even in these unforgettably handsome small towns, nestled in the hills and woods, the browns are taking over. With them come their house-packing extended families. The sturdiest of that boxy-looking federalist architecture cannot support


too many bodies and that distinctly Mexican habit of residential neglect. Homes and businesses, landmarks of New England's great days, are being turned into or replaced by Mexican hovels. Elsewhere the delicate gingerbread Victorian influence we have taken for granted is also being eradicated, to be replaced by pressboard planks and dirt floors.

New England was once the bastion of the WASP—of hard-working, money-saving, creative orators and entrepreneurs. The moral and zealous culture of the Puritans supplied no small portion of the organic soil on which America was built. Their idea of progress as a working out of God's will, including the Protestant work ethic, is yielding to Aztland languor, to the whiney, shiftless and shifty Mexican peasant, as memorialized in the old Warner Brothers cartoons that featured Speedy Gonzales. These backward and siesta-loving millions are motivated not by work and progress but by avoiding same. To this superstitious people, policy and practice are not a matter of law and will, but happenstance. Casino gambling, dog and horse racing and cockfights are their preferred types of activity.

There was a time when we used to laugh at the prospect of a Mexican invasion. Remember the comedy *Viva La Max!*, about a crazy, little Mexican tyrant-general with one of those unpronounceably pretentious, babbling names, who starts a war to retake the Alamo?

Who is laughing now? It isn't us, not the descendants of Davy Crockett, William Travis, James Bonham and Jim Bowie. Those funny old movies about Mexicans are outlawed, thanks to sensitivity training. Today, if such a movie was shown on a TV station in the Southwest, the station would be burned to the ground by morning.

Our Alamo heroes died in vain. The Alamo is no longer surrounded by a mere 5,000 Mexicans commanded by Santa Ana, but by teeming millions. The white women's auxiliaries who have long cared for the historical structure



The Alamo is being de-shrined

will soon be given the boot by the new Mexican majority. The city of San Antonio is the vanguard of the invasion and the Mexicans' centermost thrust to take possession of America. Even Houston and Dallas, formerly the glimmer-

ing showcase cities of the Lone Star State, are now minority-dominated. The crime rates reflect the extent of this alien conquest.

In California the effects of the Mexican putsch are glaringly evident. Proposition 187 banned welfare for illegal aliens, who are bankrupting the state. Opposition to the initiative came from every sort of anti-American group and included the usual violent protests, riots and politically approved looting. Mexicans were in the forefront of those who would like to reduce what was once the majority to a complacent minority.

Though it is totally unacceptable for one nation to interfere in the domestic affairs of another, the Mexican government came out officially against Proposition 187. Federal authorities even investigated some proponents to the point of intimidation. In one case, a pamphleteer was hauled before a U.S. judge and threatened by one of Janet Reno's jackbooted attorneys.

A white man out for a walk in San Bernardino saw Mexican hoodlums spray painting public property. When he wrote down their vehicle license plate number to report them to police, the vandals approached him with screwdrivers drawn. The white man pulled out his revolver and opened fire, killing one of his attackers. The L.A. district attorney refused to file charges. As can easily be imagined, the Mexicans who come from a country which has no civil rights for its citizens, have accused everyone in sight of violating their civil rights. The customary demonstrations and violence followed.

The scenario was repeated recently when the L.A. police shot a 14-year-old gang member who pointed a TEC-9 pistol at them. The thug's friends and manifold relations hit the streets, beating on Aztec drums. A young friend of the deceased told reporters, "He dint do nothin' wrong. He always carryin' groceries home for his mother." The new Mexican excuse for barbarism comes in the form of "Mom, apple pie and TEC-9s."

White Californians are fleeing to Idaho, Oregon and Washington. One of the more celebrated refugees is the newly retired L.A.P.D. detective, Mark Fuhrman. His move to Idaho has been described as further proof of his "racism"—a racism which is increasingly perceived by many whites as mere survivalism.

The Northeast, Southwest and West are not the only regions to be touched by the browns. I have received reports from Iowa—from little towns like Hawarden and Le Mars—concerning the Mexican invasion. These are busy little farm towns, where the soil means everything, as it once meant everything to our forefathers. The number of Mexican intruders increases week by week, drawn by such incredible gestures as Des Moines' offering them free real estate and benefits. Soon Mexican squatters will be squatting in those once fruitful fields, as they drop their human litters on the increasingly fallow and barren plains. America's heartland is being converted into a new California. After that, comes Aztland.

JAY LOCK

A Second Slap in the Face

As if the Simpson verdict was not enough, whites experienced another kick in the posterior October 16th by the one-million-man (subtract 600,000) march of blacks who staged another of those threatening mass invasions perfected in the early 1960s by Reverend King. The ostensible leitmotiv was "black atonement"—i.e., inspiring black men to do the impossible and solve their own problems. The deep-down reason was to have a sea of black phizes scare the bejesus out of whites.

In the main, the march was peaceful, even though it included quasi-racists like Jesse Jackson, and racist firebrands like Benjamin Chavis and Khalid Abdul Mohammed, who once characterized Judaism as a "gutter religion" and called the Pope a "no-good cracker." The more circumspect blacks hung back, such as Janus-faced Colin Powell. All in all, it was Louis Farrakhan's show.

Rambling on mellifluously for 2½ hours, he avoided his usual anti-Semitic pinpricks, as he word-played around with weirdo etymologies and brought up once again Napoleon's supposed nose job on the Sphinx. When it concerns speechifying, alas, no white politician comes close to the black separatist. The pure, unadulterated anti-Semitism and anti-whitism was left to Gus Savage, the savage ex-congressman from Chicago.

Someday, god unwilling, blacks may put on an authentic one-million-man march, a one-way march, to Washington where, joined by 500,000 black denizens of the District of Columbia, they will camp down in the Capitol, turn the White House into the Black House and send white members of Congress packing, thereby putting the finishing touches on the nation once known as the United States of America.

The Village People

Even if you scrupulously shun media hoopla about African culture, you can't avoid the phrase, "It takes a village to raise a child." I have seen this saying repeated more times than I can remember. Its origins are vague. Its derivation unexplored and unexplained. It is simply described as an old African proverb. Since African wisdom is in such short supply, I suspect this homey homily has been coined and disseminated by the mass media



African villagers

to the point where, like the saga of the Six Million, it has become common knowledge, even if it happens to be total bunkum. Now it's right up there with "A stitch in time saves nine" or "Don't cry over spilt milk" or "The early bird gets the worm." But this one's out of Africa!

In Dallas this supposedly ancient wisdom was quoted in the local paper on almost a daily basis after a group of black Muslims took it upon themselves to punish a couple of black youths who had snitched some items from a black-owned shopping mall. The Muslims, acting as security guards, caught the youths and physically punished (their critics said "abused") them. Predictably, the public outcry was deafening and the split in the ranks among local Negroes was deep. Some held out for due process for turning the kids over to juvenile authorities. On the other hand, many said the Muslims were right on. In way of justification the "village" mantra was recited over and over again, the implication being that it's up to black folks to police their own. To quite a few Negroes, it seemed to evoke an old-fashioned sense of community where neighbors looked out for neighbors and a shared sense of values was the order of the day. Ah, the joys of homogeneity! If Norman Rockwell had been a Negro, he would doubtless have painted many scenes of Congoid village tranquillity.

I don't necessarily disagree with the famed African proverb, but I don't take it at face value. Let us ponder the larger implications of what "village" elders are so fond of. If we examine Negro behavior in such a light, it is tempt-

ing to say, "It takes a village to raise a child" is really just another way of saying, "Let George (or, more accurately, Uncle Sam) do it."

The key to interpreting the proverb is the definition of "village." Clearly, if we use the word in its narrowest term and we look at the squalor of an African village or the savagery of an African-American neighborhood, childbearing, not child-raising seems to be the order of the day. But if "village" is broadly defined to mean city, state or country, we can see that "village" and "governmental entity of largely white taxpayers" are often synonymous. When the black neighborhood cannot take care of itself, it makes more demands on municipal government, a taxing body which depends to a large degree on taxpaying "villagers" of other races, i.e., those who contribute more than their share to the municipal coffers while taking away less than their share. When white flight to the suburbs devastates the city's tax base, the Negro's next "village" of resort is the state government. In states dominated by one large city (Michigan by Detroit, Maryland by Baltimore), the state legislatures frequently cut the cities some slack by favoring them at the expense of small towns and rural areas. In Texas, the push for equalization of public school funds now means that affluent districts must watch a percentage of their tax dollars go to the poorest districts in the state. The last court of appeals, of course, is the federal government, but the black sense of entitlement vis-à-vis the great white fathers in Washington need not be detailed here.

When we look at the black birthrate and the incidence of paternal abandonment in black "villages" in America, we quickly see how this village-oriented philosophy, although incompatible with middle-class society, dovetails neatly with the welfare state. The greater the responsibility of the "village," society or state for a child, then obviously the lesser the responsibility of the individual or the family. The

"AIDS: NOBODY'S FAULT, EVERYBODY'S PROBLEM" bumper sticker referred to in the August Instauration is basically another way of saying the same thing. From this we don't have far to go to the Marxist dictum, "From each according to his ability; to each according to his need." In our own lifetimes, have we not seen how the spread of the concept of collective responsibility (and its attendant guilt) has served to make civilization less and less civil?

So we can conclude that the vaunted Negro nostrum is not a kernel of wisdom so much as an excuse for Negro shortcomings. Hey, if I didn't raise my child properly, it's the village's fault. If the village refuses to help me, how can I be held responsible? Of course, the nice thing about American democracy is that when white folks forget their responsibility to their black brethren, there's always some powerful unelected official willing to remind them, as Federal Judge Jerry Buchmeyer did when he placed a public housing project in a white neighborhood in North Dallas. We not only have to underwrite Negro housing, we have to live with them too.

Unfortunately the shenanigans don't stop with the federal government. The United Nations immediately comes to mind when we consider global "village-ism." With its never-ending drum-beating for children's rights (actually the right of largely white U.S. and European taxpayers to subsidize Third World urchins), the UN has displayed an appalling penchant for interfering in the cultures, customs and laws of sovereign nations. It's not out of the question that, in the future, some world court judge will unilaterally decree that housing projects for mud people should be built everywhere in the most prosperous Western nations.

The justification? "It takes a global village to raise a Third World child." Surely, we learned that during African-American History Month!

JUDSON HAMMOND

Why the Angst and Anger?

Why do millions of Americans of European heritage feel alienated from their government and media? Why have thousands of people, driven by fear and resentment, felt compelled to join citizen militias? In a vapid attempt to answer these questions the media have focused on the lunatic fringes of angry white groups that claim the Federal Reserve is a conspiracy and international bankers are taking over the country. In general the press and TV newsmen have totally ignored the real reasons behind this sea change of angry resentment.

Events in history are often compared to the natural forces that lead up to an avalanche or to the breaking of a dam. These are some of the sources of the extraordinary

anger that has built up in the hearts of Majority members over the years.

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION: For decades in all walks of life, in both the public and private sectors, Majority men and women have been subjected to a campaign of outright racial discrimination, religiously promoted by the government and mainstream media. The total fraud of "race norming" has been quietly practiced for decades by the education establishment. It is the blue-collar white who has borne the brunt of this pie-in-the-sky, inflammatory policy. Just how long does the press believe it will take before this resentment galvanizes into hatred?

MULTICULTURALISM: A self delusional and masochistic intellectual fad that results or seeks to minimize, marginalize and vilify our European-American heritage. From Sesame Street to the diversity workshops and seminars imposed on corporate America, we have been forced multiculturalism without relief. We have watched the fraudulent glorification of minority contributions to American and world history.

Even a prominent newspaper publisher, David Lawrence of the Miami Herald, wistfully looks forward to the day the *Star Spangled Banner* will be sung in Haitian Creole.

IMMIGRATION: For years Congress and the Executive Branch of both parties have done essentially nothing about immigration. The subject is not even mentioned in the Republican "Contract with America." Having lost control of its borders, the U.S. is being rapidly transformed into a Third World country with poor, unskilled and hostile peoples, all zealously promoting their own ethnic, racial and linguistic agendas. For the last 30 years, although a mere 13% of Americans have wanted an increase in immigration, legals and illegals are flowing in at an intolerable nation-destroying rate. Proposition 187 was overwhelmingly passed in California despite the plaintive cries of the minorities and the media. Its enactment has been stopped cold by a liberal Jewish judge appointed by President Clinton.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: The average Majority family is being subjected to what can only be described as an epidemic of minority crime. Virtually everyone is aware of what is happening, but the media delicately avoid an honest discussion of the lawlessness that is barbarizing the country. Reporters and editors routinely refuse to identify the race of criminals in deference to the absurd theory that it perpetuates stereotypes.

GUN CONTROL: Tom Brokaw, like the other anchors, can show us his well-crafted, thoughtful frown when speaking about gun violence. But he never gets to the heart of the issue. Despite major penalties for the sale of drugs, people still manage to acquire them. Everyone knows or should know that the real intent of the anti-gun lobby is ultimately total confiscation. The beleaguered American family is living in fear and is under assault by an ever growing army of vicious criminals. The thought of being disarmed by the government leaves decent citizens smoldering with rage.

WELFARE: The welfare system, tilted heavily towards nonwhites, is rife with fraud and abuse. It is designed to reward the broodmares of illegitimate children for each new birth. Majority members are being mugged twice a year by state and federal taxes. They don't want to be mugged a third time by welfare. A British sociologist has stated that we are raising a future army to fight against our own children.

FORCED BUSING: Desegregation, which has destroyed untold numbers of neighborhood schools, is directly responsible for the overall lowering of education standards, values and performance. The massive explosion of private schools over the last 30 years demonstrates that Majority members regard busing as little more than absolute lunacy. Government and media pursue this destructive policy with the religious fervor and fanaticism of high priests in the Inquisition. Poor white families must send their children to institutions that bear absolutely no resemblance to the schools of 30 years ago, when schools had no use for metal detectors.

WACO AND RANDY WEAVER: David Koresh, a bizarre preacher, led an eccentric cult, but that hardly justifies lawmen killing 80 of its members, including 17 children. No one as yet has been jailed for his part in the bloody snafu. The handling of the Weaver case was equally botched. A Japanese-American sharpshooter shot Mrs. Weaver in the head while she was holding her baby in her arms. Her son, Sammy, was shot in the back while running back to his father's cabin. Exactly what caused the death of the BATF agent is unclear. According to some wild testimony before a congressional committee, the shooting of Mrs. Weaver was deliberate. It was thought that she was likely to kill her children if the Feds became too aggressive.

Contrast the government's action against the Weavers with California's treatment of recidivist criminal Rodney King. President Bush sent a planeload of federal agents and prosecutors to relentlessly pursue the police officers who arrested King, even after the cops had been found not guilty by a jury of their peers of using excessive force in their attempt to subdue the alcoholic Negro. Next came the billion-dollar Los Angeles riots. In a second "double jeopardy" trial the cops were pronounced guilty and two officers are still in jail. A few months ago, the Supreme Court finally got around to scheduling a review of the officers' sentences. King, who has been arrested five times since the incident, got a \$3.2-million settlement from Los Angeles County. If Randy Weaver had been black or Hispanic, Hollywood would already have produced a movie glorifying him and his family.

NAFTA AND THE MEXICAN BAILOUT: Over time the sellout of the American worker will result in the contraction of productive capacity and a sharp lowering of his living standard. Merging an advanced industrial economy with a totally corrupt and backward Third World economy like Mexico's, where wages are often \$4 per day, is totally self-defeating. American corporate management would transfer every job and every square foot of plant capacity to Pakistan or even to another planet if it would multiply their stock options. Who is looking out for American workers? Is it any wonder they are increasingly cynical and alienated? After hearing endless hype by the U.S. government and corporate elitists on the piles of money to be made in

Mexico, we were asked to bail it out for \$40 billion. Rumbling from the heartland canceled the bailout, but Clinton unilaterally committed \$20 billion.

MEDIA BIAS: Almost everyone is beginning to wise up about media propaganda. The mediacrats are so arrogant that they hardly bother to make a stab at balanced reporting any more. Consider the shrill editorials against Proposition 187. Peter Jennings called last November's Republican victory a "temper tantrum," adding that "the nation can't be run by an angry two-year-old." On a *This Week with David Brinkley* Sunday news show, Newt Gingrich recounted with devastating precision the media's outright and gross distortions of Republican legislation, as Cokie Roberts and Sam Donaldson grinned from ear to ear.

Majority members, for the most part, have continually acquiesced to the strident demands of minorities in the interest of racial harmony. Many whites involved in the civil rights struggles of the 50s and 60s may have been motivated by a moral and altruistic attempt to redress past wrongs and unfair practices. Today, however, the Majority is faced with a massive burgeoning of nonwhites who are main-

ly concerned with power and payback. All the specious arguments about multiculturalism, inclusion and equal opportunity can be reduced to the quest for empowerment and vengeance.

Some recent immigrants have been content to play by the old rules and settle down in America. Many more espouse a ferocious ethnocentricity. It is members of the latter group who have served as the generals in the Reconquista, transforming cities like Los Angeles and Miami into virtual Latin American colonies. We need only remember the boastful words of a Cuban refugee: "We kicked the Americans out of Miami."

Owing to massive legal and illegal immigration (more than 90% nonwhite), together with the differential birth-rates, we are cheerfully told by multiculturalists that Majority members will be a minority in the next 30 to 35 years! What a foreboding legacy to our children.

In the former Soviet Union, where the public eventually came to believe not a word of the party line, the whole system crashed. How are Majority members supposed to react to the ominous situation threatening them? What is amazing is that it has taken this long for so many to wake up. Wait until they all wake up!

FRANK PRIESTLEY PHILLIPS

Don't Cry For the Bosnian Muslims (Part II)

In a past issue of *Instauration* (Feb. 1993) I took a strong position opposing Western support for the Bosnian Muslim government. My opposition was based primarily on the ground that Bosnian Muslims are essentially the descendants of racial renegades, white European apostates, who accepted Islam from the Turkish conquerors, many of them in the hope of retaining their feudal positions of wealth and power following the Turkish defeat of Serbia at the Battle of Kossovo in 1389. A Serbian prisoner set the tone for the next several centuries of Serbian-Turkish relations when, after the battle, he pulled out a hidden knife and stabbed the Sultan to death.

Bosnian Muslims reaped large political and economic benefits by betraying their religion and their people. While Christian Serbs groaned under Ottoman rule, the converts to Islam did quite well. The daughters of Orthodox Serbs might be raped at will and dragged off to slave markets or harems by Turkish soldiers. The sons of the Orthodox might be kidnapped and castrated for service as eunuchs or drafted into an army that oppressed their own people. The Bosnian Muslims, however, thanks to their deft ability to switch sides, prospered.

Centuries of Ottoman misrule ended in the late 19th century when the Turks were kicked out bag and baggage from most of Southeastern Europe. They left behind them what Oriental despots always leave behind, nationality

groups crushed in spirit, warped in mind and morals, and withered by poverty. They also left behind the Bosnian Muslims.

It would have been better for all concerned if Bosnian Muslims had done the decent thing and left with the last



Tito held Yugoslavia together by sheer force of will

Turkish troops. Barring that, they should have hurled themselves off the numerous cliffs to be found in their mountainous country. Either solution would have been acceptable to their estranged and betrayed Serb brothers.

When the Serbs regained their independence they had two primary goals: the first was to unify all Serbs in one nation; the second was to ensure that no Serbs would ever again be subjected to the yoke of slavery and domination by aliens. They were thinking of both the Muslims and the Roman Catholic Croats, but there was no question which group was the more hated and despised enemy.

Following WWII, the Communist Party and partisan leader Josip Broz, known to the world as Tito, fastened an iron grip on Yugoslavia in order to put an end to the boiling ethnic conflicts in that unhappy land. Himself of Croat and Slovenian ancestry, he did the majority Serbs no favors, even though they formed the largest single ethnic group and had filled the ranks of the partisan guerrillas. Always an artificial creation, Yugoslavia was detested by the Croats and distrusted by the Bosnian Muslims. With the end of Communist rule the whole mess exploded like an overheated meat pie in a microwave oven.

The Croats, encouraged by their WWII allies, the Germans, immediately declared independence. They lost a short, sharp war with the Serbs and a good chunk of their territory, but kept their freedom. The Bosnian Muslims also declared independence, ostensibly seeking to found a secular state with room for Serbs, Muslims and Croats. The so-called Bosnian Serbs would have none of it. They knew the Bosnian Muslims well enough to know that they could not be trusted. The Croats, already declared enemies of the Serbs, opted out of any preliminary peace settlement. A rural people, they intended to take much of Bosnia's land area with them. Since most Muslims lived in the cities and towns, Bosnian Serbs saw no good reason why they should give up their land to their ancestral enemies. The multiple dissensions inevitably added up to war.

There is no question that the Bosnian Serbs committed atrocities and forced Muslims and Croats to flee lands that the Serbs had "liberated." Because the latter were winning the war, they had more opportunity to carry out atrocities. Nobody has ever suggested that any of the parties fought according to Marquess of Queensbury rules.

For the past three years Bosnian Serbs have conducted a desultory siege of the Bosnian Muslim capital of Sarajevo. The drama captured the imagination of the world, largely thanks to the reportage of one Christiane Amanpour, the half-Iranian, half-English CNN journalist who first made a name for herself with her snotty remarks about the Allied forces during the Gulf War.

While there is no proof that Amanpour is a paid agent of the Bosnian Muslim government, you couldn't tell it from her reporting. Her loyalty to the Bosnian Muslims would put to shame the faithfulness of the Daily Worker to Uncle Joe. Her reporting—shallow, emotional, sensational and shamelessly biased towards the Muslims—

played a major role in swinging U.S. sympathy towards the Bosnian government. Always looking as if she just rolled out of bed, a complete stranger to lipstick and eye shadow, Amanpour is an expert at projecting an aura of urgent seriousness. She is less skillful in projecting impartiality, though the gullibility of her American audience makes this an issue of little import.

Thanks to her work and that of several other Western government officials, politicians and journalists—not the least of whom were the three State Dept. Foreign Service officers who resigned in protest at the U.S. policy of not confronting the Bosnian Serbs—we may be on the verge of taking the plunge into a Balkan civil war. President Clinton, who is apparently devoid of both principles and foreign policy expertise, knows in his gut that this is the wrong move, but he doesn't have the nerve to explain to the American people why taking sides in this brawl simply is not in their interest.

In the N.Y. Times (Aug. 9, 1995), retired Air Force General Charles G. Boyd, former Deputy Commander of the U.S. European Command, presented a blunt, honest and scathing view of the entire Balkan mess. No friend of the Bosnian Serbs, Boyd refused to let that affect his assessment of the situation. A subtitle in the article said it all: "Up close, it is not a clash of good and evil. The Serbs have rights, too." Three cheers for the general, although he had to retire before he spoke out.

General Boyd lived and breathed the Bosnian War for the past three years. He had access to more hard information about the conflict than almost anyone. His statement carries weight. Let us hope Congress hears and considers it before doing anything foolish.

As I have already made plain, my primary reason for opposing the Bosnian Muslim government was my intense distaste for anything that reeks of race treason. The very existence of Muslims in Bosnia is an historical affront to Europeans. Having said that, I have another reason to root for the Serbs, even if I have to hold my nose as I pass it on.

The Bosnian Serbs are the first Europeans to fight to uphold the nation-state ideal since the collapse of the Soviet Union. They have done so in the face of American blustering and the united opposition of the pseudo-liberal democracies of Western Europe, not to mention the more sinister hissing of the Turks and various radical Muslim states. Bosnian Serbs are fighting for their people and their land, nothing else. They are not fighting for "multicultural diversity" or "inter-ethnic harmony." They are fighting for themselves alone, and to hell with their enemies. While I condemn the crimes committed by the Bosnian Serbs, they are free and proud Europeans fighting to retain their independence and refusing to allow their people to fall once more under foreign rule. True, their claims often conflict with the plans and aspirations of their neighbors. Let them fight it out, I say, and may the best men win.

At a time when French journalists will unblushingly refer to Yannick Noah as a Frenchman, when Pakistanis, without a wince, are called Englishmen, I am going to side

with men who know who they are and what is worth fighting for in this world.

The recent Croat invasion of the Krajina region may be a blessing in disguise. The Croats have driven out tens of thousands of Bosnian Serbs, forcing the Western media into an embarrassed silence over the ethnic cleansing question. The ethnic map is finally being rearranged in a way that may—I repeat may—make real peace possible, every ethnic group living in its own land, *sans* friction-creating minorities.

New World Order types realize what is happening in

Bosnia and are frothing with rage. Columnist Anthony Lewis and others of his ilk or—more accurately—tribe are enraged at the prospect of Bosnian ethnostates. Why? It would teach the world that peace is possible when different peoples are separated and allowed to live alone without aliens in their midst. To the distress of liberals, no middlemen would find employment in those states. They are not needed in strong, confident, homogeneous countries, the kind that leave Anthony Lewis and his interventionist clique out in the cold.

N.B. FORREST

No Free Speech for Historical Revisionists

Wehrmacht General Otto-Ernst Remer was sentenced to 22 months in prison for questioning WWII accusations against Germans in his publication, *Remer-Depesche*. To avoid serving time, the 82-year-old soldier sought political asylum in Spain, where he currently lives.

Ernst Zündel, the German-Canadian publisher, was ordered by a Munich court to pay a fine of DM 31,500 (\$22,000) for telling a German audience about his trials in Canada where he was acquitted of the charge of “disseminating untruths”—i.e., denying the Holocaust. Zündel has been physically attacked and a few months ago his house was burned almost to the ground.

Gerhard Rudolf, a young German chemist, formerly with the prestigious Max Plank Institute, having doubts about the gas chamber claims, went to Auschwitz to take samples from the walls of alleged gas chambers. He lost his job, his chance to complete his doctorate and is now under indictment for defaming Jews.

Erhard Kemper, a German agricultural expert and freelance journalist, attacked the bases on which he asserted the West German state is founded, one such basis being the Holocaust claim. He is currently in jail for his many thought crimes. While incarcerated, Kemper developed an ailment that required immediate medical attention. Not receiving it, Kemper claims he had to remove himself from prison and see his own doctor, who arranged for an operation. Only after he had recuperated did he return to jail.

Walter Ochsenberger, an Austrian citizen and publisher, was convicted for his favorable comments about the Third Reich, which violated the Austrian law against “promoting the resurgence of Nazism.” Ochsenberger fled before he could be jailed. On a sea trip from a Baltic country to Denmark, his ferry entered a German harbor, where he was arrested and without proper extradition formalities sent to Austria, where he now languishes in prison.

Thies Christophersen, an agricultural expert and publisher, was stationed during WWII near Auschwitz and employed camp inmates on his farm. Decades ago he wrote a book claiming that if gas chambers had existed at Auschwitz, he would have known about them. For this he is under indictment. Before his trial he fled to political exile in Denmark, where he is living today.

Tiudar Rudolph questions all Allied accusations against Germany and claims to have proof that many are only a continuation of war propaganda. He has been jailed for defamation of the dead and race hatred.

Wilhelm Stäglich, an anti-aircraft officer, wrote *Der Auschwitz Mythos*, which denied that mass murder could have taken place there. He also questioned the existence of homicidal gas chambers. The fact that Stäglich was a retired judge didn’t save him from prosecution. Relying on a law signed by Hitler, the authorities took away his doctorate, his book was banned and all unsold copies burned.

Helmut Grimm, a German holding doctorates in both medicine and jurisprudence, wrote letters skeptical of the Holocaust. While he was absent, a team of government officials searched his home without a search warrant and confiscated nearly everything he owned. He is now in political asylum in Denmark.

Udo Walendy, a German publisher who delved critically—too critically—into historical matters has been in constant trouble with German authorities. Slapped with a heavy fine, Walendy has to have his writings carefully combed over by a team of lawyers lest they conflict with the existing Zeitgeist.

Max Wahl, a Swiss citizen and publisher was sentenced by a German court to pay nearly DM 40,000 (\$28,000) for publicly questioning many Allied war claims, among them the Holocaust, and for stating that Germany’s former

enemies were using these accusations to blackmail Germans into paying reparations to all and sundry.

Gerd Honsik, an Austrian, wrote several books delving deeply—too deeply—into modern history. One of them had the chutzpah to say bad things about the iconic Simon Wiesenthal. Sentenced to a long jail term and ordered to pay large fines, Honsik is currently in self-imposed exile in Spain.

Max Albrechtskircher was fined DM 4,000 (\$2,800) for writing a letter to a small magazine quoting these words from the testimony of a German-Jewish witness at the trial of Ernst Zündel in Canada:

I am working for the reconciliation of Jews and Germans. My presence here proves that among thousands of bad Jews there are some who do not accuse the Germans of the Holocaust. I am convinced that there was never a German plan to exterminate all the Jews, and no Jews were killed in gas chambers.

Robert Faurisson, London-born French professor, publicly rejected gas chamber claims, as well as certain other Holocaust details. Consequently he was forced out of the Classics Dept. of the University of Lyon-2. In 1979, Faurisson was subjected to "racial hatred" lawsuits from LICRA, a Zionist organization, and Leon Poliakov, a professional

Jewish agitator. Faurisson lost, but nevertheless received only a token punishment on appeal. Some years ago he was badly beaten by Jewish thugs.

Alfred Detscher, owner of a Munich advertising journal, printed the text of the *Leuchter Report*, which asserted there were no gas chambers at Auschwitz. After Bavarian authorities got finished with Detscher, his business was totally ruined and he was fined DM 20,000 (\$14,000) for "creating hate." He died in 1993.

Gert Sudholt, well-known German publisher, was imprisoned for writing and publishing books and articles on subjects *verboten* in Germany. The government is trying to put him out of business.

Henri Roques submitted a thesis for a doctorate which alleged that the confessions of Kurt Gerstein, an SS officer who maintained that there had been mass gassings of Jews in 1942, were false and misleading. Although the thesis won Roques a doctorate, it was later revoked by the French education minister—the first time in French history that a doctoral degree was revoked. Roques now lives in retirement in Paris.

(Source: Australian Civil Liberties Union, P.O. Box 1137, Carlton, 3053 Australia)

Is Elizabeth Holtzman a Perjurer?

Following Baker County (GA) Sheriff L. Warren ("Gator") Johnson's 1963 acquittal on federal civil rights violation charges, the food market of juror Carl Smith was picketed by goons demonstrating for racial integration. Albany (GA) Mayor Asa D. Kelley Jr. immediately requested a federal investigation. A grand jury, convened in Macon, indicted three of the demonstrators for obstructing justice by conspiring to injure a juror. Six others were indicted for perjury, among them Joni Rabinowitz, daughter of the Comsymp spokesman for Cuba and Algeria, attorney Victor Rabinowitz.

Prominent representatives of the Radical Left promptly formed a National Committee for the Albany Defendants, with Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. as honorary chairman and attorney William Kunstler as the Committee's leading mouthpiece. Fellow travelers Carl and Anne Braden of the Southern Conference Educational Fund wrote a pamphlet titled "Upside-down Justice" in support of the defendants. In response, Attorney General Robert Kennedy,

who was carving a political career out of indicting Southern fighters for states' rights, had to admit the grand jury's proceedings were "fair and reasonable throughout. . . a completely nonpreferential exercise of Federal responsibility."



The undicted Ms. Holtzman

What is not generally known is that Elizabeth Holtzman, then a Harvard Law School student, should have been the

tenth person charged. According to Marion Cheek, the FBI's Resident Agent, tape recordings showed that Holtzman told the alleged perjurers what lies to tell before the grand jury. "We tried to indict her for subordination of perjury," said Cheek. "We weren't allowed to. The Justice Dept. wouldn't allow it. The reins were pulled in."

Cheek is also quoted as saying in Kenneth O'Reilly's *Racial Matters: The FBI's Secret File on Black America, 1960-1972* (NY: Macmillan, 1989, p. 121), that had it not been for the extralegal intervention by the Kennedy administration, Elizabeth Holtzman's future public career as Brooklyn District Attorney and congresswoman from New York would have been stopped in its tracks.

In the wake of this abortion of justice, Holtzman returned to Harvard and wrote several articles criticizing the FBI's performance in Albany (Source: Harvard Law Record, Oct. 3, Nov. 14 and Dec. 5, 1963).

EDWARD KERLING

Jewish Defense League Loses One

Back in June, Irv Rubin, JDL chairman, addressed the Glendale (CA) City Council during one of its regular Tuesday evening meetings. The most racist of Jewish racists, Rubin demanded that the city remove almost 1,000 handsome lampposts located along the streets of the downtown and commercial areas. The lampposts, Irv complained, were adorned with counterclockwise swastikas, unlike the clockwise models of the Third Reich. They were installed between 1924 and 1926, when swastikas were viewed primarily as American Indian good luck symbols. When the city fathers looked into the matter, they found the cost to remove them would be about \$2.8 million.

The City Council scheduled a public hearing on the issue on August 22. Since I live close to Glendale, I passed the news on to friends who reside in the area. The meeting was set for 6:00 p.m. At 5:30 p.m. six of us were on our way.

The discussion on the issue was started by the Glendale city attorney, who read a lengthy and well-researched article on the history of what anti-Nazis call the crooked cross. During his address he explained that swastikas originated some 3,000 years ago and were familiar to Buddhists, Muslims, ancient Europeans and

American Indians.

After the attorney's speech, the hearing was open to the public for com-



Irv Rubin after getting a rare haircut

ment—three minutes per person. The first speaker was a little old lady who made some derisive comments about Rubin's disreputable and disheveled appearance, giving everyone present (except Irv and his Negro companion) a good laugh. There were a total of 11 speakers, 9

against taking down the lampposts; Rubin and his black pal for their removal. Two of our group addressed the City Council members, pointing out that swastikas are currently displayed by some Asian immigrants and American Indians, particularly at funerals and burials. We expressed our disgust at the time wasted discussing such a non-issue. We also manifested our disapproval of the use of tax money for removing the lampposts. More importantly, we explained to the City Council what kind of people JDL members are, pointing out that in 1985 the FBI had called the JDL the second most active terrorist group in the United States. The JDL goons had been involved in beating, acts of intimidation, arson and even one alleged murder. A former Rubin associate, Robert Manning, arrested on a homicide charge, is presently serving a life sentence.

At the end of the evening, the City Council decided that the swastikas were here to stay. No cogent reason was found to replace them.

We are aware of the limitations of this small victory, but it is important to test the strength and stamina of the enemy and to confront him every time we can.

911

Zionism and Oil

America's curious involvement with the cult of Zionism goes back to the musty old days of European colonialism, the era of King Edward VII and the British Admiralty's turn-of-the-century decision to oil-fire its great fleet of capital ships. That decision caused a stampede throughout the capitals of Europe for access to new sources of petroleum, a stampede which ran smack into the Russian Revolution, which threatened to disrupt access to the Baku oil fields. In pursuit of oil, the British Royal Dutch Shell octopus sent hundreds of agents scurrying about the wastelands of Araby. Discovery of vast new reserves cast the die which eventually linked the fate of the Arabs, the Jews of Europe (both East and West), and us Americans.

Long before, the French had learned a valuable lesson about colonizing Arab lands: always include the face of an interloper, an obvious outsider whose pres-

ence draws the heat of outrage that arises in the hearts of the exploited natives. In Algeria and Morocco, the interloper was the Near East Jew. In Palestine, it would be the Eastern European Semite, a shadowy figure of history stunted of stature, ignorant of culture, and hobbled with a religion pockmarked with the cabalistic intrigues and whispered oaths of revenge aimed at the Polish and Russian majority.

Seeking to escape a confining ghetto existence, the Eastern European Jew figured heavily in the exploration and exploitation of Arab oil, but in a way far removed from that anticipated by the colonialists. For the Western Jew, whose religion and culture had been formed by social integration with Christianity, such crude mores promised to clash with the Christian spirit of modesty, forgiveness, and humility. Sensing the implied danger, the Western Jew (notably the Rothschild clan) funded the Zionist urge

to emigrate, not to the cities of the West, but to the Holy Land.

In those early days, America elected to remain aloof from European colonization of the Near East. It had already uncovered vast petroleum reserves in East Texas, Oklahoma and Louisiana fields. Accordingly, America remained indifferent to Zionism. Decades would go by before the U.S., finally becoming a net oil importer, would embrace both the Middle East and Zionism. The real turnabout took place in 1967 when the Arabs' nationalized their oil, forcing the West to negotiate on a head-to-head basis. Over night the Jewish homeland was faced with an awkward dilemma: how to develop and sell a rationale that would justify continued Western patronage of Israel? The rationale came in the form of a new doctrine of Christianity which held that Christ's return to the Holy Land is predicated on the Christian world's good

deeds to the Jews of Israel. Promoted among fundamentalist Protestants by a triumvirate of TV evangelists (Messrs. Swagert, Robertson and Falwell), this belief caught the imagination of a new generation of Bible buffs. In time, the nation's fundamentalists were enlisted as soldiers for the all-important trench warfare which marks Congressional politicking. Soon not a single congressman dared to take the fundamentalist/Zionist-lobby axis lightly.

The absurdity of a doctrine which stipulates Christ's refusal to arrive among the Chosen unless all of Christianity puts its shoulder to the Zionist wagon becomes abundantly clear, since it is the Jews themselves who positively deny his godhood. By contrast, the hated Muslims openly accept Christ's divinity. Absurdity then blends into comedy when one reflects on the centuries of fundamentalist antagonism to even minor deviations of

doctrine, such as the Catholic veneration of Mary. Protestants were shipping out for the benefit of a people who not only deny the meaning of Christ, but participated in his murder. For American foreign policy, the fundamentalist push for Zionism was equally deleterious. Against the interests of 125 million Arabs and millions of other Middle Eastern Muslims, America linked up with 3 million Eastern European Jews.

IVAN HILD

Serious Population Control

The Chinese, a temperate zone people, are not lacking in intelligence. Unencumbered by the religious belief that all human life is sacred, Chinese are able to make rational decisions to ensure their survival. They understand what the West doesn't seem or want to understand, namely, that if there are more people than food, the result is mass starvation.

At one time in their history the Chinese would have allowed nature to take its course. Mass famines and genocidal wars played an important part in population control. One famine in 1876-1879 resulted in 9 million deaths. Through the centuries epidemics have had a braking effect on population growth.

Largely as a result of Chairman Mao's policy of encouraging births and the ability of science and technology to eliminate famine and plagues, China's population has soared to 1.17 billion. The *World Almanac* has predicted 1.3 billion by the end of the century. Since China is home to 23% of the world's population, but has only 7% of its arable land, the pressure to provide enough food for its population is never-ending.

The present clique of Chinese leaders, now half de-Marxified, has responded to

the threat of a Malthusian catastrophe with draconian population control measures. In 1979 they launched their one child per couple campaign, which lowered the average birthrate from 4.8 chil-



Mao wanted more babies

dren per woman in 1970 to 1.8 per woman today.

Chinese leaders have also confronted the controversial challenge of controlling the quality of their population with an ambitious attempt at genetic engineering. Despite a blizzard of protest from Western liberals, Chinese authorities, by resorting to forced sterilization, are quietly but firmly controlling the reproductive capability of parents with mental disease, retardation and other genetic defects that, as Health Minister Chen Minzhang explains, "may totally or partially deprive the victim of the ability to live independently."

Much as the West hates to face it, the Chinese are absolutely correct in their compulsory population control measures. The U.S. National Academy of Science and Britain's Royal Society of London, in a joint statement, have made plain that the world's population is growing at the unprecedented rate of 100 million a year and could nearly double the earth's 5 billion people to 10 billion by the middle of the next century. This, say the two prestigious scientific groups, "could lead to irreversible degradation of the environment."

323

Racial Disproportion in Crime

Law & Order, *NYPD Blue*, *New York Undercover* and other low-IQ TV fare would have gullible viewers believe that crime in big U.S. cities is being fought by detectives and prosecutors, who are at least 50% racial minorities and females. According to these cinematic exaggerations, the multicultural forces of good are locked in a constant battle with evil white

villains. The latter, almost exclusively male, are blamed for the vast majority of violent crimes in metropolitan areas. A Justice Dept. report, "Felony Defendants in Large Urban Counties, 1992," shows this not to be the case.

With 50% of violent crime and 68% of other felonies going unreported, only 17% of reported crime results in arrests.

Of the criminal defendants who were caught and dragged into the criminal justice system of the country's 75 largest counties in 1992, 65% of those charged with violent crimes were black, 23% Hispanics, and 1% Others (Asians, Eskimos, Indians and Pacific Islanders).

Blacks, who make up only 11% of the country's adult population, were charged

with 75% of the murders in the 75 counties, 49% of the rapes, 77% of robberies, 61% of assaults and 55% of other violent crimes. They were also accused of 56% of property offenses (burglary, theft, etc.), 61% of drug offenses (sales and possession) and 56% of public-order crimes, including 68% of illegal weapons violations.

Hispanics, who compose 7% of the country's adult population, were arrested for 24% of the murders, 22% of rapes, 23% of robberies, 24% of assaults and 21% of other violent crimes. They were also charged with 23% of property offenses, 31% of drug offenses and 27% of public-order offenses, including 34% of weapons violations.

Included in the Justice Dept.'s definition of "white" were not only those of European, but also of Middle Mediterranean, North African and Jewish descent.

Whites, as so defined, comprise nearly 80% of the country's adult population, but accounted for only 11% of those arrested in 1992 for violent crimes in large urban areas. Whites comprised less than 1% (repeat, less than 1%) of those arrested for murder and robbery, 26% for rape, 14% for assault and 22% for other violent crimes. They also accounted for only 19% of property crime, 7% of drug offenders, and 16% of those charged with public-order crimes. Only in the category of felony vehicle driving-related charges did white males (71%) come close to matching their percentage of the population.

The numbers cited here tend to support the claim that certain racial groups are genetically predisposed to criminality, especially acts of violence. Although TV crime fighters apprehend and convict nearly every criminal who crosses their

path, their real world counterparts are not nearly as successful. In the real world 83% of reported crime goes unsolved. According to the Justice Dept., only 70% of those arrested for murder, 54% for rape, 56% for robbery, 36% for assault and 46% of those charged with other violent crimes were subsequently convicted of a felony offense. Even then the vast majority, including 73% originally charged with murder, were allowed to plead guilty to lesser crimes. Unlike TV, where almost every defendant pleads not guilty and is convicted, only 7% of those charged with felonies stood trial and 25% of those tried for murder were acquitted.

As has come to be the rule, the Justice Dept. report made no direct connection between the race of the victimizer and the race of the victimized.

EDWARD KERLING

The FBI Paid a Mobster to Find the Bodies

In December 1953, Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) leader Robert Moses decided "to bring a lot of white kids down [to the Deep South] and get some white kids hurt and the country will be up in arms." On June 21, 1964, three integration agitators in Neshoba County (MS) disappeared: Michael Schwerner, Andrew Goodman and William Chaney. Schwerner and Goodman were Zoo City Jews. Chaney was a local black. President Lyndon Johnson told FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover to do whatever it took to find the missing trio.

Hoover assigned 258 federal agents to the case. They interrogated over 1,000 Mississippians, including 480 Klansmen ("just to let them know we know who they are.") Although the Vietnam War was in full progress, Johnson also ordered Hoover to "get 200 Marines down there right away." After the FBI chief contacted Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara, a helicopter carrying the first contingent of 20 to 30 Marines was in the air. The mission was aborted, however, after Mississippi Senator James Eastland and Governor Marlin Johnson threatened to go to the press with a "Marines Invade Mississippi!" story.

Forty days of fruitless investigation and intense media pressure were a source of



Hoover wheeled and dealt with the mob

great embarrassment to both Johnson and Hoover, until on August 4, the 41st day, the bodies of the three missing men were found buried in a dam construction project on the Ollen Burrage farm. At the time, the FBI claimed that an unidentified informant had been paid \$30,000 for informa-

tion on where the bodies were located.

On June 20, 1994 the New York Daily News reported how the FBI had gained the information. Under secret orders from Hoover, longtime New York Mafia member Gregory Scarpa Sr. was taken from New York to Mississippi by FBI agents and told to intimidate an appliance merchant into telling where the bodies were located and who was involved in their deaths. When Scarpa was unsuccessful the first time, agents sent him back with instructions to increase the intimidation against the man and his family. Again unsuccessful, the FBI gave him a gun and told him to return a third time. This time Scarpa shoved the gun in the man's mouth and threatened to "blow his f---ing brains out" if he did not divulge what he knew.

A mob enforcer, not some mysterious informer, was paid \$30,000 by the FBI for his extralegal action of beating and nearly murdering the Southern store owner. Scarpa died of AIDS-related complications in a federal prison hospital in Minnesota in late 1994. Hoover, who was later revealed to be a homosexual and cross-dresser, always swore up and down that organized crime did not exist in this country.

EDWARD KERLING

The Broken Contract With America

It is becoming obvious that no one has the courage to shoot Santa Claus, even though he may well be a profligate old fellow who is rapidly destroying American civilization. Since he enthusiastically scatters taxpayers' money all over the country, the recipients and their supporters in Congress will defend him to the death.

I and millions of other Americans were fervently hoping that when Newt and his young Republican tigers took over the House of Representatives and secured a majority in the Senate, Santa Claus would be in deep trouble. The House budget committee published an impressive list of over 300 programs, committees and projects to be terminated by the G.O.P. budget.

The list ranged from Amtrak subsidies, to midnight basketball, to Title X "family planning," to the Legal Services Corp. and all the way to maple syrup research grants. Many of these wasteful and obsolete boondoggles have been the bane of productive, citizens for years.

It is especially painful for me to watch Republicans retreating on corporate subsidies or corporate welfare as it is more accurately described. John Kasich, chairman of the budget committee, pledged "to get business off the dole" and save billions of dollars by ending such unneeded,

wasteful programs as the Tennessee Valley Authority, Small Business Administration, Export Import Bank, Rural Electrification Administration, major farm programs, and a host of the remnants of FDR's alphabet leftism.

What happened to the G.O.P.'s heart-warming scenario that was going to get the dreadful dead weight of hundreds of bureaucracies off the aching backs of the working people? In a recent column Washington observer Stephen Moore wrote that the House and Senate appropriations people

have placed virtually all of these programs on a permanent life support system. Business as usual is reigning again on Capitol Hill, as various committee chairmen—"the cardinals" as they are called—retain program after program that the full House agreed should be killed.

The current educated guess is that at best, only 10-20% of the 300 programs slated to be killed will really be gone, come January.

I find this infuriating. Any idiot knows that the government should get out of about 75% of the money transfer programs that make it possible for the big spenders to rape the taxpayers and throw away billions of dollars on special interest

groups. Let's drastically cut the military and bloated military salaries and pensions. Let's close down the departments of Education, Energy, and Commerce. Any reasonably informed citizen can list a number of agencies that should be given final rites.

True, a few of these cloud-nine programs have been cut back. But unless they are completely obliterated they will sprout again like noxious weeds. Reagan made deep cuts in the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program and the Small Business Administration. Today, after six years of Bush and Clinton, these programs are as expensive as ever.

The productive people of America have been getting a bellyful. The pressures to cut government grows. The people are grumbling and disenchanted. Among many citizens there is a feeling Congress and the president will not give them relief, which bolsters the need for a strong man who will make massive changes by decree. Let's hope our political leaders have the wisdom to make the necessary changes that will head off this alternative.

In the meantime, Santa Claus is very much alive and doing better than ever in Washington.


323

Housing for the Non-Discriminating Buyer

The following forbidden words, when used in any real estate ads, may well open the door to lawsuits. Be forewarned!

bachelor pad
landmark
couples
mature
older seniors
adults
single
children
senior citizen
setting up housekeeping
traditional neighborhood
mother-in-law suite
private community
walking distance
professional
executive

traditional
exclusive
young
newlyweds
young family
private
integrated
executive buyer
established neighborhood
local structure
mature person
master bedroom
family room
quiet neighborhood
non-smoker
integrated



The Only Real Solution

N.B. Forrest's "Repatriation" (July 1995) and Richard McCulloch's "The Preservationist Imperative" (July 1995) make for interesting reading. Both authors reflect and encourage the changing public mood. Yet even Forrest, tough as he is, has not yet dared to call for resettlement of *all* blacks, although he does make a brief, very brief, mention of "Africans" among his expellees. Only Arthur Demarest in his sober and closely reasoned book, *Resettlement* (New Voices, P.O. Box 1177, Empire, CA 95319), has had the vision and the courage to prescribe the one sure cure for our racial and economic problems. Resettlement of the 30 million Negroes in the U.S. should be the first step. Repatriation of other unwanted groups could then follow as a matter of course.

The organization that proposes settling blacks in one or more of our existing states is on the wrong track. As Demarest so ably points out, that would be the very worst solution. It is distressing and at the same time almost amusing to see how so many obviously intelligent people keep applying band-aids on a festering wound rather than daring to excise the malignancy once and for all.

ROY UNDERWOOD

Correcting Zip 077

I really must draw attention to the misinformation contained in Zip 077's attack on my views (August 1995). The Protestant Irish in America were mainly of Lowland Scotch origin. Those who weren't were mainly of English origin. That's how it was—until the influx of Catholic Irish at the time of the great famine of the 1840s.

As for "England being "invaded and occupied by the Roman hordes," England did not then exist. The Romans conquered Britain up to the firths of Forth and Clyde, then fell back to Hadrian's Wall. The English (Angles, Saxons, Friesians) did not arrive in any numbers until the fifth century, after the Roman Empire had collapsed. Judging by the place names and the physical evidence, they largely replaced the previous inhabitants. By the way, the Gaelic word *Sassenach* does not mean "foreign bastard." It means "Saxon."

JOHN NOBULL

Demystifying the Scots-Irish

Methinks Zip 077 has missed the boat re "The Protestant Connection" (August 1995). The term Scots-Irish or Ulster Scots denotes the Irish Protestants descended from the Scottish planters in Ulster in the early 1600s. In the 1700s, 400,000 of this hardy breed crossed the Atlantic and settled largely in the Appalachians. George Washington paid tribute to their efforts in the American War of Independence when he said, "If defeated everywhere else, I will make my stand for liberty among the Scots-Irish of my native Virginia."

I visited Ulster this past June and while I was there a book came out which might be of interest to Majority readers, especially Zip 077. *The Scots-Irish in the Hills of Tennessee* (Causeway Press, 9 Ebrington Terrace, Londonderry, BT47 1JS, Northern Ireland, UK). The author, Belfast journalist Billy Kennedy, notes that the term Scotch-Irish had been in use for the Ulster Scots in America as early as 1695, but usually in a figurative way. The early Presbyterians from Ireland generally knew them-

selves simply as Irish and were so known by other colonists. The establishment and growth of highly visible Irish Catholic communities led many U.S. Protestants to adopt the Scotch-Irish label.

The term Scot was the ancient label of the people of Ireland. Scotland became Scotland when some Ulster Scots crossed the Irish Sea to Argyshire to found the Kingdom of Dalriada in the 5th Century A.D. The Scots of the Ulster Plantation in the 1600s were merely returning to their "auld soil."

Canadian subscriber

Negroes in Aussieland

The letter from the Australian subscriber (August 1995) was a shattering experience. Blacks Down Under! Unbelievable! I guess I've been in dreamland these last few years. For some reason it just never occurred to me that the Aussies had joined the "Death to the White Race Club." When my uncle's submarine, the *Swordfish*, was on patrol duty there during WWII, Australian authorities wouldn't even let the Negro mess steward ashore for a beer. Despite the presence of Abos, American blacks seem as incongruous in Australia as polar bears on Miami beach and twice as lethal. Since many of these new immigrants will be single young males, it doesn't take too much imagination to guess who the targets of their notorious libidinous fervor will be. I've seen it in Britain. I've seen it in Germany and Canada. It's now commonplace in big cities in Texas. But I never dreamed I'd even hear about such an unspeakable situation in Kangarooland. Negro aggressiveness, propensity for violence and sexual immorality are legendary. Where blacks lose their fear of whites, whites quickly learn to fear blacks. To import all the crime, violence, predatory sexual behavior, illegitimacy, welfare, drugs, gangs and gang warfare, riots, muggings, single-parent households, rap and soul music, ghetto blasters, resentment, hatred, belligerence, incivility, public vulgarity, slums and, especially, miscegenation—the whole Negro cultural baggage—is an act of such colossal race treachery that I can't imagine why the whites in Australia don't rise as a single outraged body and tear their politicians to shreds. I find myself weeping with rage, frustration and anguish.

782

Give Rush a Break

As a charter subscriber to *Instauration*, I share the views expressed therein in almost every respect. However, I am puzzled, even dismayed, about the mag's continuous sniping at Rush Limbaugh, as evidenced by the August cover story. Of course, the man cannot make the slightest criticism of Jews or he would not have a radio or TV show, as *Instauration* indicates by stating: "To do otherwise (than to continue to obediently toe a strongly pro-Zionist line) would be to instantly place in peril absolutely everything that he has now achieved in terms of fame, money and influence." I see the editor likes to split infinitives, but that's not important. What is important is that Rush's broadcasts are helping the Majority cause. He provides valuable information about the actions, shenanigans, schemes and fears of the liberals. Rush may not be perfect, but he is on our side. Attacking him only weakens us. It is high time for Majority members to stop fighting among ourselves and unite in the fight against liberalism, in ac-

cordance with the principle: The enemy of my enemy is my friend.

I believe that the Republican success in the 1994 elections can at least in part be attributed to Rush, who claims he has an audience of 20 million. I ask you, would this audience be better off without Limbaugh and the valuable information he disseminates, often in a most entertaining style? His programs are worth listening to just for the laugh-provoking witticisms. Regardless of his stance towards Jews, I am sure Rush wins a lot of converts to our cause by making them laugh for the right reasons. I wish Instauration had 20 million readers. In that case we would not need Limbaugh. Under present circumstances, however, we should be happy to have him. I certainly am.

137

FDR's Warmongering

I agree with Zip 190 that one of the best ways to water down the Holohoax is to point out the many other war atrocities committed against many different peoples throughout the world. That some people in Washington have been shedding crocodile tears over the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki may or may not be specious. However, I do take exception to Zip 190's assertion that the Japs "were asking for trouble" by their empire building schemes in the Far East during the early 1900s.

Nothing Japs or Germans were doing prior to our involvement in WWII threatened American sovereignty. I harbored "the Japs started the war" sentiments for most of my life, until five years ago when I began in earnest to investigate the facts. My delving revealed a very different story than that offered up by our spin doctors. Roosevelt, along with Churchill and Roosevelt's flagitious Secretary of State, Henry Stimson, orchestrated a doctrine of deceit, hostility and treachery unequalled in modern history. The egregiously duplicitous nature of FDR in: (1) repeatedly refusing to deal with the Japanese in good faith; (2) continuously prodding them to attack us; and (3) assuring the American public that he was doing all in his power to keep us out of war in Asia and Europe, cannot be over-emphasized. Neither can it be overlooked that the Roosevelt administration never missed an opportunity to encourage all other nations to reject any and all Japanese peace overtures, which not only could have avoided war, but would have allowed the Germans and Japanese to effectively thwart the rise of communism in the Far East and Europe.

Roosevelt, like a bully slapping the face of his foe while daring him to fight, could not have planned a more successful ruse. With their backs to the wall and staring at a U.S. naval blockade strangling their economy, Japs could do nothing else but fight. Roosevelt could not have been more guilty for Pearl Harbor if he had personally lit the fuse on the *U.S.S. Arizona*.

760

Christian Fanatics Are the Problem

Dedicated anti-Semites say that Jews are the source of all our (i.e., the country's) problems. Baloney. It's mathematically impossible for a small percentage of people (2% or 3%) to take over a much larger host population, unless the host people have major problems.

Millions of Christians in this country are anxiously awaiting the year 2000. They are not really concerned about crime, abortion, pornography and homosexuality. Jesus will resolve all the problems in the Second Coming.

On one talk show I listen to, a caller mentioned race and culture. The host became quite angry and said, "Don't you people understand that race and culture mean nothing. The only important thing is Jesus, to be in Christ, in the body of Christ."

We have the John Birch Society conspiracy crowd who like

to believe that Satan rules the cosmos. Accordingly, all books are tainted, except those written by Christians well grounded in the Bible.

Very few, if any of these self-called Christians were involved in passing Proposition 187 or were in any group devoted to regaining immigration sanity. They simply don't worry about whites becoming a minority in their own country because it is supposed to occur after the year 2000. By then Jesus will have taken over.

How could a dynamic people have ever created such a self-destructive religion?

981

Spying for Israel

Reader's Digest (July 1995) had an article on America's super-weapon, the Blackbird high reconnaissance jet. One paragraph by author Ben Rich reads:

During the 1973 Yom Kippur War, a Blackbird flew nonstop to the Middle East from a base in upstate New York—a 12,000-mile round trip—in less than half a day. By the following day, its photos were on the desks of the Israeli general staff.

Good ol' honest, neutral Uncle Saul never fails to look out for his own.

782

High Praise

Zip 121, you're a star! Someone with your brains, perception and literary ability deserves better than a mere zip code. What about King Alfred, Thor or Ragnar Redbeard?

Australian subscriber

Censorship in Instauration

Aside from the expected ban on calls for violence, Instauration's editor censors in many ways. Some of us have written articles that we don't submit, because we suspect they won't be printed. Or, we don't even bother to write any articles. Here are a few areas that are under the ban:

No criticism of police or military.

We're not allowed to get really mad at the Jews.

We're not allowed to become extremely bitter.

Sometimes we are not even allowed to be humorous.

I like Instauration, but I have more to say than can be printed there. Can the editor inform me of a magazine where I can really say everything I want to say?

913

Sorry, the editor can't.

In Defense of M.M.

It's distressing to read the Safety Valver's tough talk about how the always lively, likable and intelligent M.M. should be "eliminated" for her youthful folly. Over my dead body! Instauration is a forum for racial separation, not for ominous totalitarian fantasies. Information is the best artillery, and ridicule the best tactic. Violence should be reserved for self-defense and threatening language reserved for the most obnoxious enemies. Even there, I believe it could profitably be skipped, since by and large we are, though not pacifists, certainly not vicious thugs aching to bypass the law and employ terror. Personally, I'd as soon stay far away from any group that would "eliminate" as fine a person as M.M. That kind of intolerance belongs to the far left.

021

Four members of the affluent Jewish Goff family in Potomac (MD), along with house painter Mark Aldridge, were apparently murdered by **Bruman Stalin Alvarez**, a black handyman. The alleged murderer's ominous middle name should have sent the Goffs a timely warning signal.

#

After making a 40-minute speech blaming his predicament on racism, Rep. **Mel Reynolds** (D-IL) was sentenced to four years in jail for criminal sexual assault on a teenager and an extra year for obstruction of justice. A Rhodes scholar, Reynolds resigned from the House of Representatives following his conviction. Adding to his woes, his bank foreclosed on the mortgage on his \$310,000, four-acre home.

#

Cardinal Bernard Law joined the Pope in attacking critics of immigration. "[T]he anti-immigration theme is in the air, all around us. It's part of our political currency right now, and I think it's disastrous."

#

Fifty years later the blackmail is still working. Germany finally caved in and gave \$2.1 million to **Hugo Princz**, a short-term American citizen, and 10 other Holocaust survivors to compensate them for their stay in a few concentration camps in WWII. Though a federal appeals court ruled against Princz, **Stanley Sporkin**, a Beltway power judge and the bane of Microsoft, kept beating the never-forget drums for his racial cousin, as did Clinton and congressional seekers after Jewish campaign money.

#

Harvard, rated falsely by U.S. News & World Report as the nation's best university, offers a course entitled, "Perceptions of the Supernatural: Witches, Werewolves and Ouija Boards."

#

Lawyer **Martin Cohen** is looking for great things for his client, **Divine Brown**, the black lady of the evening caught "serving" the degenerate British film actor, **Hugh Grant**, in a parked car in Beverly Hills. Cohen says Ms. Brown won't do porn or lead a crusade for "justifying or legalizing prostitution." Meanwhile lucrative offers for the rights to her life story are pouring in from European TV stations.

#

While taking his dog on an evening walk in a Ft. Worth neighborhood considered safe by its residents, Willard Pratt, 63, a retired insurance man, was held up by a gang of "youths." Finding he had no money on him (he was wearing shorts,

tennis shoes and a reflective vest), the disappointed robbers killed him with a shot in the lower back and drove off. **Four Hispanics** (ages 14, 15, 16 and 20) have been arrested, the eldest charged with capital murder.

#

Howard Stern, a Jewish vulgarian who delights in being called a shock jock, is at work on a second autobiography. His first, *Private Parts*, put out by a publishing house owned by **Rupert Murdoch**, has sold 1.3 million hardcover copies.

#

Orange County (CA) Superior Court Judge **Frederick P. Horn** ruled it was okay for Servite High School to expel Michael Carter for using the word "feminazi" in a student body campaign speech.

#

Richard Rosenthal, an insurance executive residing in Framingham (MA), grew so angry after his wife (race unspecified) scolded him for overcooking some pasta that, according to press reports, he tore out her heart and lungs and stuck them on a stake.

#

A **Russian gang** (read Jewish gang) has been charged with illegal use of computers to steal \$10 million from Citicorp. The brains behind the scam is hacker **Vladimir Levin**, 28, born in St. Petersburg, who has been arrested in London and is currently fighting extradition to the U.S.

#

Andres English-Howard, 31, was high on black racism and even higher on crack. Andrea O'Donnell, a white college student who shackled up with the Negro in a San Diego pad, was high on liberalism and higher on women's issues. After a stormy relationship, during which Andres made a habit of stealing her credit cards and forging her checks, English-Howard put a plastic bag over her head and a cord around her neck. Such was the finale of one more sordid venture into miscegenation.

#

He preached that violence is "as American as cherry pie," and in the 1960s Negro blowhard **H.Rap Brown** practiced what he preached. He practiced it again last summer when he was arrested for shooting a man in Atlanta.

#

Clinton doesn't look too closely into the character of the people he hires to work in the White House. The late "suicidal" **Vincent Foster**, the jailbird, **Webster Hubbell**, the **Travelgate tricksters**, the

(the Greek) **Stephanopoulos** represent just a few of the President's creepos. The Greek was recently arrested and charged with leaving the scene of an accident and having an expired driver's license and expired license plates. Clinton told him "not to worry about it." Lo and behold, all the charges were dropped.

#

Hillary Clinton's chief guru is **Susan Thomases**, who took an inordinate interest in seeing that the papers left behind by Vincent Foster did not fall into the "wrong" hands. Who is Susan? A former top-ranking muckety-muck of AIPAC, she is a New York Jewish lawyer who flies down frequently to whisper in the First Lady's ear. A bed in the White House is always at her disposal.

#

He was a presidential candidate in Haiti in the late 1980s. Today, **Joseph Douze** is a resident of the Palm Beach County jail, charged with 11 counts of federal mail fraud and conspiracy to defraud insurance companies. He staged fake accidents, following which he filed phony insurance claims to the amount of \$5 million. In Haiti he was jailed for trying to blow up a bridge. Despite this spotty record, he was allowed in the U.S. as a legal immigrant.

#

Claiming \$24 million in debts, Republican Governor **Fife Symington** of Arizona has filed for bankruptcy. He was elected on his promise to apply his business expertise to straighten out his state's finances.

#

Eight players (six black, two white) on the U. of Tennessee football team have been arrested in the past year for various crimes and misdemeanors, ranging from rape and assault to woman-bashing, disorderly conduct and public brawling.

#

A California jury ordered Kragen Auto Parts to pay **John Rossi** \$1 million. Rossi claimed he had been fired because he weighed 400 pounds. The company said he was canned for poor job performance. Since the litigation began, Rossi gained another 100 pounds.

#

Three Hispanic gang members have been arrested in connection with the murder of blonde, three-year-old Stephanie Kuhen, who was shot in the head after the car she was riding in got lost and drove up a dead-end street in no man's (no white's) land in Los Angeles. Stephanie's brother, 2, was shot in the foot. The driver, Timothy Stone, got a bullet in his back. Other family members were unscathed.

48.9% of public school teachers in Boston send their kids to private schools.

#

Nearly 50% of all Cambodian and Laotian immigrants in the U.S. are on welfare.

#

25% of black females over 18 spend more than \$50 a year on perfume and/or cologne. 19.5% of Hispanic females go for such odors; 17.7% of white females.

#

In 1993, New York State spent \$29,297 per prison inmate, the highest amount of any state. Next biggest spender on prisoners was Massachusetts, \$27,531 per capita. Most niggardly was Mississippi, \$7,034.

#

Robert W. Pittman, Jewish CEO of Time Warner's Six Flags theme parks, will pocket \$20 million as a going-away present. Part of this treasure trove is due the onetime disk jockey for arranging the sale of 51% of Six Flags parks to Boston Ventures, an investment group. Time Warner will retain 49%.

#

The 5 top American heroes, according to Scripps-Howard/Ohio University poll are: (1) John F. Kennedy, (2) Rev. Martin Luther King Jr., (3) Abraham Lincoln, (4) Norman Schwarzkopf, (5) Jacqueline Kennedy. The poll was taken before massive media hype deified Colin Powell.

#

Blacks compose 18% of the federal, state and local government workforce. 43% of all black managers and professionals are on Uncle Sam's payroll.

#

In his first 2 years in the White House, Clinton appointed 127 federal judges, 89 of them Democrats. 27 were black, 9 Hispanic, 1 Asian American, 1 Amerindian.

#

22.6 million people within the geographical confines of the U.S. were born in foreign parts. 7.1% of them are black. 31% have acquired citizenship.

#

In 1993 the Census Bureau listed 4.7 million black males and 5.5 million black females as "never married or divorced." The male shortfall helps account for the difficulty many black females encounter when searching for husbands.

#

Statistics on whites often include Hispanics. The recent news report that 22.8% of white births are illegitimate reduces to 18.5% if illegitimate Hispanic births are deducted. In 1992 births to

white mothers totaled 3,201,678; only 2,527,000 to non-Hispanic white mothers.

#

The 14-year prison sentence given Randall Pierce for sexually assaulting a woman was overturned by a California appeals court because one juror had a rock-bottom IQ of 66.

#

Alicia Silverstone, still sweet 18, signed a \$10-million, 2-picture contract with Columbia Pictures. Alicia, star of the flick, *Clueless*, is a prime exemplar of what Hollywood calls "high school cutesies."

#

Gilbert Isaac Alderete hopped a freight in San Antonio 4 years ago when he was 12. Somehow or other he fell onto the tracks, losing one leg and a good part of the other. The Missouri Pacific Railroad presented him and his lawyer with \$3 million.

#

94,000 crimes were committed daily in the U.S. in 1992. Direct costs amounted to some \$18 billion. Indirect costs engendered by trauma, depression and fear may reach \$500 billion annually.

#

In a consent decree signed by U.S. District Judge Lee Rosenthal in Houston, the Gulf Grinding Co. paid \$65,000 to a fired employee who had AIDS. The company further agreed to educate its managers and supervisors in the mysteries of employment discrimination law.

#

Juan Antonio Rodriquez, 24, drew a 17-year sentence for driving 100 mph the wrong way on an Antonio freeway. During his high-speed spree, he killed a 20-year-old white girl in a head-on collision. Rodriquez's mother said the sentence was much too harsh and ascribed it to racism. "They have never liked us Mexicans," she observed.

#

In 1965 there were 179,954 nuns in the Roman Catholic Church worldwide; in 1990, 92,107.

#

The cost of legal and illegal immigrants in 1993 came to \$121.07 billion. Subtracting \$76.89 billion in taxes paid by immigrants leaves a deficit of \$44.18 billion to be made up in large part by citizen taxpayers.

#

A new sampling technique developed by the Census Bureau found a 5.3 million undercount in the 1990 Census. 4.8% of blacks were allegedly missed, 5.2% of

and Pacific Islanders. An attempt to apply these changes retroactively to the 1990 Census failed. The new counting method, which will give a significant boost to the minority population, may be in standard use by 2000, the year of the next Census.

#

Life expectancy, literacy, education and earned income are factors that define the Gender-related Development Index. Swedes have the highest GRDI, 0.919; Afghans the lowest, 0.882. The U.S. with 0.901 comes in 5th, along with Australia.

#

1 out of every 5 Medicare dollars spent in south Florida is stolen. So declares Senator Bob Graham.

#

On Sept. 25, 1995, the volume of shares traded on the New York Stock Exchange was but a modest fraction of that usually recorded. Half of the floor traders and brokers were out celebrating Rosh Hashana.

#

Whether or not they come from rich or poor families, Albion College of Michigan gives each of its black students \$4,000 a year.

#

500,000 sexual assaults take place each year in the U.S., including 170,000 rapes and 140,000 attempted rapes. The sharp increase over the 1992 FBI estimate of 104,800 rapes and attempted rapes came about as a result of a change in the Bureau of Justice Statistics way of counting. Previous questions had only asked about assaults of all types and did not mention rape or sexual assault specifically. The National Crime Victimization Survey came up with an even higher rape figure—a scary 753,000 a year.

#

Of the 10 most powerful media, film and communications magnates in the U.S. listed in *Forbes* magazine, 6 are Jewish. Of the 25 super-plutocrats listed by *Forbes* as having \$3 billion and over, 7 are Jewish.

#

Massachusetts drivers' licenses can be taken in any one of 24 foreign languages.

#

A poll of 399 Alabamians found that 24% of whites and 30% of blacks use the word nigger "sometime." 5% of blacks and 2% of whites said they utter the forbidden word "all the time."

#

Halfway through the 1980s, Washington (DC) had a population of 800,000. Today it has fallen to 578,000.

#

1.3 million victims of the 4.4 million violent crimes in the U.S. in 1993 said their attacker flaunted a firearm.



Undecided Pol

Colin Powell, an accomplished card shark at the race card game, is so politically correct he ascribed the Simpson verdict to "inadequate evidence not race." He was jumped over 14 senior generals so Bush could boast he had appointed the first black Chairman of the Joint Chiefs. If Powell does decide to run, it will be interesting to see how he fares against the other presidential candidates. If he became their nominee, he would certainly give a lift to Perot's Third Partyites, who suffer greatly from the leadership of a puffed-up, plutocratic yahoo. The skin color of the mealy-mouthed retired four-star general would lure a large cohort of black voters from the Democratic camp. The media, definitively and paranoidly in his corner, would bring him sizable support from the 10% or 20% of the population which thinks and votes as Dan Rather and the N.Y. Times dictate.

While Powell, if he should run as a Republican, would herd a lot of blacks onto the G.O.P. reservation, overall the result would be disastrous for the Stupid Party. The rightist hardcore would move to a Third Party, splitting the G.O.P. in half and guaranteeing the reelection of Billary.

Meanwhile, the big bang of the Republican revolution is fading to a loud whisper. The media hammer the budgetary cuts, as the network news shows seethe with old-fashioned Marxist innuendo. By the time the next election rolls around, tens of millions of voters who are worrying about Medicare and Medicaid cuts will stray from the Republican reservation in droves. In a democracy when less than half of the people bother to pay their way in life, the free-loaders will probably be powerful enough to stop any and all attempts to derail the welfare express.

The most that can be hoped for are token cuts. As for the other truly important issues—immigration and affirmative action—the Republicans, at least so far, have been in the ostrich mode.

Gang Revenge?

Paul McLaughlin, an up-and-coming Massachusetts crime fighter and assistant state attorney general, was shot dead by a 15- or 16-year old killer (obviously a minorityite, though the media, per usual, tried to keep *all* the info supplied by eyewitnesses quiet). The murder was committed outside a West Roxbury train station as McLaughlin was getting into his car to

drive home. In recent years the 41-year-old bachelor had been a prominent anti-gang prosecutor. His wallet, when it was found, had not been emptied of its cash and credit cards.

Mistresses on the Board

The two Posners, son Steven and father Victor, have been battling fraud charges for years, especially those arising from their eccentric management of companies like Arby's and RC Cola. Both were involved in the trial that sent master crooks Ivan Boesky and Michael Milken to jail. Now Steven has turned against his father and is suing him for \$600 million. Among his other financial peccadilloes, Victor is charged with appointing two former mistresses to the board of directors of his Security Management Corp., which owns vast amounts of property in Maryland.

Kunstler, May He Not R.I.P.

No one has done more (Johnnie Cochran excepted) to destroy the U.S. criminal justice system than William Kunstler, who, to garish headlines, would open his bag of shyster tricks to defend the lowest of American low-lives, such as the Chicago Seven (six of them fellow Jews), dirty jokester Lenny Bruce, Jack Ruby and Mafia chieftain John Gotti. He even attempted to defend Colin Ferguson, who in an anti-white rage killed six people and wounded 19 others in a Long Island commuter train. For his attempt to disrupt the Chicago Seven trial, Kunstler was sentenced to four years in prison, but never served a day, thanks in part to the shyster expertise of good buddy Alan Dershowitz, who has a similar bent for round-the-clock agitation. The only people Kunstler refused to defend were Majorityites, whose ancestors developed the legal system he and his ilk have been trying to destroy. "I don't represent right-wing factions," he declaimed, shortly before his death in September.

Fair-Weather Jews

Congressman Mel Reynolds' fall has been uncushioned by the Chosen. They had been more or less responsible for his political rise. His 1992 campaign received over \$80,000 from pro-Israel PACs and individual Jewish contributors, a good chunk of his overall war chest of \$532,000. He had rewarded his Jewish supporters by voting for the foreign aid bill, which includes \$3 billion for Israel. He also signed two letters to Clinton affirming his

support for a united Jerusalem and calling for an end to the Arab boycott of the Compromised Land. Now that he is no longer of use to them and now that the sexual harassment charges have severely damaged his career, Jews have dropped him like a hot potato. (For more on Reynolds, who is now ensconced in a medium security prison, see Primate Watch.)

Dollars for Israel

Twenty-three percent of Americans believe financial aid to Israel should be eliminated; 42% think it should be downsized; 23% want to keep it at its present level. 12% had no opinion. (Source: 1,004 respondents to a poll conducted by John Zogby Group). In 1995 a Wirthlin Group poll asked how much U.S. aid was going to Israel. Of 424 respondents, 13% said \$5 per Israeli; 17% said \$50; 20% chose \$500; 14% said \$1,000; 14% said \$2,500; 22% had no opinion. The correct answer is \$1,260, which means that 64% of those polled underestimated the amount of aid and 14% overestimated.

Racist Scholarships

Michael Kinsley, Jewry's most robotic liberal, was all a-flutter in his Time essay (Aug. 28) over the 87-year-old black laundress, Oseola McCarty, who left \$150,000 to establish scholarships for black students to attend the University of Southern Mississippi. Mike admits that it would probably be illegal to leave money solely for white scholarships. "To be crude about it," he confessed, "it is okay to favor blacks in ways it is not okay to favor whites."

Bumps in the Night

There are several reasons not to move to Detroit. Two are Devil's Night and a sky-high murder rate. Two more cropped up in August. One week after a black father tried to seal his five-year-old daughter's eyes with Krazy Glue, a 33-year-old Negro, Deletha Word, while cruising through a park at 2:30 a.m., bumped into a car driven by joyriding young blacks. Failing to see the humor, they chased her to a nearby bridge where she stopped, backed up and bumped their car again. One Negro, Martell Welch, 19, then got out and started beating her with a tire iron. Attempting to escape, Deletha jumped off the bridge and drowned, despite the efforts of two Good Samaritan swimmers to save her. The story cries out for sympathy for the black female. But what was she doing cruising alone on "bad streets" at half past two in the morning? And what did she hope to accomplish by twice fender-bending a car full of black bucks?



Secret Deal

How can a professional Catholic like Teddy Kennedy get a divorce from his wife, Joan, then marry Victoria Reggie, who, incidentally, has just joined the rather un-Catholic Miami law firm of Greenberg, Traurig, Hoffman, Lipoff, Rosen & Quentel? Since a Catholic cannot get a divorce and have a second wife and still be a Catholic in the eyes of the Church, did Fat Face get a secret annulment from the Vatican as some tabloids suggest?

Judaic Cartoon

The first project of DreamWorks, the entertainment combine of Spielberg, Geffen and Katzenberg, will be... what else? An animated feature on Moses titled *Prince of Egypt*. Will Whoopie Goldberg be Moses's ole lady? Will Kevin Costner play the lead? Don't expect to see Moses mugs in hamburger joints or Frederick-of-Hollywood-style togs for the Chosenesses.

The Despicable N Word

To all those vocal whites who purport to be shocked by Detective Fuhrman's use of the N word, we can only say, c'mon out of your closet, if not your bell jar. Americans have been using the N word the way Fuhrman employed it for eons. Blacks spout it at other blacks to signify friendly derision. Whites enounce it more pointedly. Germans say *Der Neger* to denote no more than "The African." My German great aunt caused hard feelings some years back with her Afro-American house guest when she referred to him as the Neger—a bit of language mixing that created an embarrassing moment. Behind whites' use of the word lies the N factor, the singlemost significant verbal variable in Western society today. Too high an N factor is pure anarchy. Too low makes liberals uncomfortable. A happy medium may not be a happy compromise, but it keeps the integrationists at bay. Above all, the N factor determines how many police will need to be hired in any given year, how many jail cells need to be built and how many food stamps need to be issued. With the N factor so critical in modern life, how can a society think of prohibiting a word that's heard day in, day out in barber shops, bowling alleys, office buildings, classrooms and even churches.

The liberals' claim that it has been abandoned is pretty much like saying that pilots don't use radar. Fuhrman's tapes, however, were made in strict confidence.

The shrew who broke his trust did it for the bucks. Why so much shrill harping about the tapes? The glib-libs fear too much use of the N word might lead to the J word.

220

Taboo Breaker Chastised

Abraham Sofaer worked for the U.S. government in the investigation of the explosion of Pan Am Flight 103 over Scotland (270 dead). Later he returned to private practice and signed on at a fee of \$250,000 a month to represent Libya in lawsuits deriving from the crash—a brazen example of the "revolving-door" process, wherein lawyers working for the government quit and defend the clients



Sofaer took money from Gaddafi

they were previously prosecuting. Although Sofaer was quickly pressured to desert his controversial client, the D.C. Bar Counsel decided to chastise him. Leonard Becker is the Jewish lawyer behind the move. He may well be punishing Sofaer for breaking the Jewish taboo against having anything to do with an anti-Semite. Libya's dictator, Muammar Gaddafi, is no friend of Jewry.

Indian Summer

The Maine coast in September is racial heaven. On Bar Harbor, one spends an seven-day week without seeing so much as a Moor. Down state, however, in the more touristy towns and villages, blacks can be seen lugging travel bags and camcorders, as they stare bewilderingly at a self-policing culture. In Senator Cohen, Maine has a Republican legislator who is more pro-welfare than Kennedy. Neither of these senators properly "represent" the interests of the real New Englanders, most of whom are as rock-ribbed independent of public handouts as their reputation implies. By lavishing government give-aways

on their constituencies, Cohen and Kennedy promote the in-migration of minorities from the South and the Caribbean. In this way, Boston has been turned into a social mishmash of welfare-chomping outlanders. The chief subject of reportage for the Boston Globe is crime, crime, crime.

Both Vermont and New Hampshire enjoy the virtues of racial purity. The former state, however, is riddled with Chosenism, the product of decades of retreat from the baleful atmosphere of New York City, Philly and Baltimore. Accordingly, Vermont's public life tends to resemble the trendy social liberalism of Scarsdale, Bryn Mawr and Maryland's hunt country. New Hampshire, on the other hand, hews to the old virtues of Yankee traditionalism. Its taxes are a fraction of Vermont's, which attracts the warm-weather residence of Old Money families.

Bible-Thumping Lit

The \$3-billion-a-year Christian book market depends on ghostwriters to provide inspirational volumes credited to Billy Graham, Jerry Falwell, Pat Robertson and other best-selling evangelists. In 1993, Reverend Mel White, now dean of the Cathedral of Hope in Dallas, caused a furor by announcing his predilection for the Hombrs of the Hershey Highway. "The big celebrity types," White preached, "don't have time to read books, let alone write them. It was hard to get someone like Pat Robertson to even read his own book."

Rabbinical Lust

Rabbi Fred Neulander, the Don Juan of South Joisey, likes to console women, particularly grieving young widows. One such was Elaine Soncini, who lost her husband to cancer. In the process of converting her to Judaism, the religion of her late mate, he managed to make her his mistress. After the Rabbi's wife was mysteriously slain, he kept up his affair with Elaine, while also finding a few other women to "console." Now that the extent of the Rabbi's satyriasis has become known, police are looking more closely into the death of his wife.

Black Babble

African languages sound like baby talk (Baba mama dada gaga wagadoogoo). Applying the biogenetic law that human embryos go through stages resembling their much less evolved ancestors, Indo-European languages are several lengths ahead in the linguistic evolution derby. This might explain why white babies start out "talking black."



Waspishly Yours

According to the Bible—that masterpiece of “historical” revisionism, that paradigm of post-hoc prophetic fallacies, that rabbinical grab-bag of self-congratulation, Yahweh used the Philistines to chastise the Jews for their all-too-frequent lapses from the Covenant, the real-estate deal he made with his tribalists.

According to the hindsight logic of the Good Book, everything has a “high purpose,” even genocide. So the Jews would eventually be permitted to plunder Palestine, as a reward for their fidelity to Yahweh, but in the meantime would use the Philistines to lash the reluctant Jews back into line.

Tribal lapses from the real-estate Covenant had to be chastised, which meant that the forays of the Philistines were a blessing in disguise. Bloodshed was just Yahweh’s way of showing his restless subjects how much he cared. Like Godfather Corleone, Yahweh would continue to protect and defend his proteges if, and only if, they continued to pay him protection money.

Christian faith, on the other hand, was based on payoff deferred. Many Christians still believe that faith alone, as opposed to good works, will ensure salvation. They are willing to make a Kierkegaardian “leap of faith” that there is a real savior at the end of the yellow brick road to Damascus.

Theoretically, Christians give up a “little” (the world) to get a Lot. Jews give up a pillar of salt (the fantasy of an afterlife) in order to get a lot in Beverly Hills or a subdivision in Levittown.

Christianity is a religion of noble, self-sacrificing hypocrisy. Judaism “takes the cash and lets the credit go.” The Bible nails down the rug-merchant nature of Middle Eastern man, defining that psychology long before Bentham and the self-interested Philosophes of the 18th century ever dreamed of the pleasure-pain principle as applicable to all mankind.

What makes the Good Book so universal? Its descriptions of power and greed, rather than goodness? What is the ultimate greedy power trip, if not salvation? What is salvation but the culmination of an insatiable need for love and an egomaniacal sense of being saved?

God loves me; I am saved. God hates you; you are damned. Is it any wonder that our secret sympathies are with Satan, who prized power above love? “I’d rather reign in hell than serve in heaven.” Is it any wonder that Milton’s subconscious sympathies were with Satan?

Do we envy Jews because they can get away with murder, while even Lucifer could not? God cast the rebellious Lucifer and all his luminous legions into the fiery pit, while butchers, “ethnic cleansers,” bone breakers, law breakers and dynamiters like David Ben-Gurion, Menaphegm Begin, Yitsuck Shamir and Yitsuck Rabin achieve pinnacles of respectability and power. Is it any wonder that John Calvin, the greatest Protestant theologian—a thinker who took the Bible literally (so he must have been at least as great a thinker as Tammy Fay Bakker and Jerry Falwell combined)—is it any wonder that John Calvin considered mankind depraved? I would agree with the image of man created by the Jews in their Good Book, so I wonder what Louis Farrakhan meant when he called Judaism a “dirty” and/or “gutter” religion?

Anyway, if Yahweh used the Philistines, Babylonians and Romans to chastise the Jews for their lapses in their real-estate Covenant, why don’t the Jews also praise God for sending Hitler as the latest in a long glorious line of those who were sent to chastise the Jews for their straying from the law?

Take the case of Samson, the hirsute lunkhead who packed his power in his greasy headlocks. Why did Samson lose all his power when Delilah unlocked the secret of his goat-greased locks? Was all his goat power locked into lust? And was all his power lost when Delilah loosed his goat locks?

Samson’s hair is a metaphor for sexual virility, a virility which is “shorn” when one betrays his own tribe by lusting after forbidden shiksas, instead of sticking to the tribal beauties which Yahweh has to offer, i.e., Golda Meir, Barbra Streisand and Betty Friedan.

Isn’t the story of Samson somewhat misogynist, like Orthodox Judaism itself? For doesn’t the story tell us that Samson was “blinded” and betrayed by his lust for Delilah? And doesn’t Samson have his eyes “opened”? Doesn’t he regain his strength once he has “outgrown” his lust for the outlander Delilah?

So doesn’t the story of Samson tell us that physical strength and beauty are fleeting, since hair is so fragile and can be shorn so easily? Doesn’t Samson’s story say that the tribe, i.e., the nation, is more important than an individual’s self-gratification? (Except for racist white people, of course. Blacks and Jews should “stick to their own kind” and view tribal values as paramount.)

Does the story of Samson likewise tell us that the Philistines also must have been blind as well as stupid, since they couldn’t see that Samson’s hair (his strength, his tribal allegiance) had grown back when they dragged him out to mock him? Since the Philistines knew that his strength was in his hair, why didn’t they keep it shorn? Were the Philistines “blinded” (as Samson had been) by pride and loss of a sense of tribal solidarity? (So isn’t there a lesson here for the willfully blinded advocates of “multiculturalism” and its tweedle-dumb twin “diversity”?)

But were the Philistines really so stupid? Even after hairballs like Julius, Ethel and Jonathan have been shorn from our ringworm society, how come hairballs like Kissinger, Schumer, Lantos and Lieberman keep growing back to lead a barbarian Barbara Boxer rebellion, to drug us into more wars and and into sending more foreign aid to Israel? What else does the story of Samson tell us, especially about Hebrew racism? Considering Moses, Abraham, David and Solomon, surely the Hebrews could not have resented Samson’s natural predilection for the ladies. No, it was the treachery of his lechery they resented, for silly ole Samson insisted on sniffing out the shiksa beauties. Who can blame him? Especially if the ladies of his own tribe looked anything like Barbra (strident) Streisand, Carly (gate-mouth) Simon and Bella (Lugosi) Apezug.) Who wouldn’t prefer a delicious little shiksa like the lilac-lovely Delilah?

So why did Samson insist on lusting after these anti Semitic, non-Semitic Philistines? Why did Jews like Sammy Davis, Jr., Mike Todd and Harry Karl, insist on marrying Philistine Delilahs, instead of “sticking to their own kind”? And why did Mai Britt, Elizabeth Taylor and Debbie Reynolds bed these Hebrew Samsons, if they didn’t intend to bring the temple down?

In short, the biblical story of Samson is just another one of the many edifying fairy tales that fill the Good Book with the odor of sanctity. In short, it is a cautionary tale crammed with themes of racism and lust, designed to warn the Jews to stick to their own kind. In short, don’t assimilate. Exploit your host country, but suicide is preferable to assimilation. In fact, you’re a hero, if you pull down the whole edifice rather than assimilate.

V.S. STINGER

It takes a long time for "hit movies" to make it to my dish. Until I had occasion to see it on pay-per-view, all I knew about *Pulp Fiction* was that it won a lot of Oscars. In the age of Roseanne, Madonna, Howard Stern, Michael Jackson and O.J. Simpson, I was prepared for the worst—and that's exactly what I got.

Pulp Fiction is just about the most excremental film ever concocted, yet the minority throwbacks in Hollywood gave it some of the film capital's highest awards. The throwback most responsible is the producer and writer, a creature named Quentin Tarantino, now one of the toasts of Tinseltown.

Man was once considered to be the topmost rung in the evolutionary ladder, a creature so high in the chain of being that he even contained a spark of divinity. No more. *Pulp Fiction* proves conclusively that man has now descended the ladder and, having lost the uplift of the divine afflatus, is down there with the slimiest and least evolved of all life forms. If two slugs down at the bottom of the earth's deepest sinkhole were able to converse, the talk would be at a higher level than the piggish grunts eructed from the mouths of the characters in *Pulp Fiction*.

Cable TV subscribers in Prince Georges County (MD) were treated to a show extolling the virtues of Terence Johnson, a Negro criminal recently released from jail. Among Johnson's "virtues": killing two white police officers in 1978. Sentenced to 25 years, the killer, now released, was adulated on a local talk show for his almost miraculous rehabilitation. One wonders if all that praise would have been heaped on Johnson if he was a white and had killed two black cops.

In his book, *My War*, published last summer, Andy Rooney, purposely eschewing the word Holocaust, wrote that "people who have escalated the number of Jews who died in concentration camps" do a disservice to the victims' memory.



From Zip 121. Rolonda is yet another one of those Donahue-cloned talk shows that litter an already well-littered landscape. The host is a black woman who, whatever her ideological faults, is at the very least much easier on the eyes than the on-again, off-again anorexic battleship known as Oprah Winfrey. The show I tuned into was about—what else?—Bisexual Women. I was tempted to turn it off immediately, repulsed by the endless sleaziness of these programs as they bottom-fish for ratings. My hormones arguing otherwise, I ended up watching the first 15 minutes. The two guests had both appeared in a recent Playboy pictorial about switch-hitting females. One was a young and glowing California Girl or, more precisely, a California Girl of, say, 1964, before the immigration flood. The other was a fairly successful fashion model, who, it was carefully explained, had previously appeared in some sort of "safe sex" campaign ad with another woman, as well as in a steamy music video with a man.

The model proved to be especially fascinating and certainly possessed the kind of beauty required by her profession. Both her accent and her name seemed to indicate that she was originally from Holland. It was easy to envision her as somehow being connected with the fleshpots of Amsterdam before arriving in the even gamier fleshpots of Zoo City. After excerpts from her videos and ads were briefly shown, she chatted about her attraction to both men and women. At present she was living with a female, but was still interested in having a family and indicated she might eventually seek out a male mate.

Looking racy and titillating, she somehow became less fetching with each passing minute. There was something so supremely decadent about her, something so utterly removed from the realm of a wholesome, natural and joyful sexuality, that it was not too long before she became downright repulsive. Her soul was so profoundly unattractive that it soon overwhelmed the aesthetic shell in which it was encased. Already in her early 30s, I sensed that, when her beauty began to fade, only the inner ugliness would remain.

The real kicker came towards the end of the interview. Someone from the audience asked her about her first sexual experience with a woman. She responded that it was "probably with some farmer's daughter in Zimbabwe,

BE ON THE RADIO!
PRODUCE YOUR OWN DAILY/WEEKLY SHOW.

*All Jewish
All the Time!*

THE NATION'S
FIRST & ONLY
ALL JEWISH
RADIO STATION

Rockland
County
Westchester
Bergen &
Orange
Counties
NATIONWIDE
VIA SATELLITE

CSUPERSTATION

CALL FOR OUR SPECIAL INTRODUCTORY OFFER
FOR INFO, CONTACT ZEV BRENNER, POB 20106, PARK WEST STATION NY, NY 10025
(212) 769-1925 • OUT OF NEW YORK: 1(800) 628-TALK

A TALKLINE BROADCASTING CORPORATION STATION

All-Jewish, all-black, all-Hispanic radio stations are thriving. Anyone ever heard of all-WASP radio station?

although I didn't really start exploring that side of myself until I came to New York."

Now her story took on an entirely new dimension. She herself was undoubtedly the daughter of an Afrikaner farmer in Rhodesia. (Afrikaners were also part of Rhodesia's white minority.) In the course of her relatively short life she had served, in effect, as a highly compressed example of the sickness, collapse and possible extinction of what was essentially, if only for a half-century or so, a Northern European country. Instead of being a farmer's wife, raising a host of strong sons and healthy daughters, here she was in New York, living it up with a lesbian lover of uncertain race, stripping off her clothes and grappling with men and women in the pages of *Playboy*. To top it all off, she flaunts her perversions on a crummy talk show hosted by a Negress!

Make no mistake, this woman cannot be dismissed as some sort of freak. Her sorry story sums up everything that is destroying us. When some future Third World historians seek to understand the dynamics behind the decline and fall of European man, they will have the choice of either plowing through millions of documents and tens of thousands of books or viewing the 60-minute videotape of the *Rolanda* show.

As Instaurationists, most of us undoubtedly have painful memories of the Susan Smith case in South Carolina, in which that troubled young woman killed her two children in what was clearly a kind of psychotic breakdown. When she blamed their disappearance on a black carjacker and the truth came out, the media had yet another field day of Majority-bashing. Once again we were required to "search our souls" because of our "racism." Isn't it interesting just how, on the one hand, the Majority is always slammed for "stereotyping all minorities" because of the actions of a few, while on the other hand, the Majority is immediately held collectively accountable for the despicable double-infanticide committed by Susan Smith?

Not long after this story broke, Charlie Rose had a woman anthropologist on his PBS chat show in order to try to put this seemingly incomprehensible crime into some kind of perspective. In considering Smith's motives, the anthropologist stated that her new boyfriend had recently broken up with her, in no small part because he did not want to become involved with a woman with two children. Rose's guest noted that this male reluctance to assume responsibility for another man's children is seen not only throughout most human cultures, but even in the animal kingdom.

Although it was really little more than simple common sense which virtually any man understands immediately and instinctively, the anthropologist's observation provided much food for thought. To take just one example, other anthropologists and assorted social theorists have noted that the "patriarchal" social order which so enrages modern feminists, because of its sexual double standard and its restrictions on women's freedoms (and above all else their sexual freedom) is essentially a product of this fundamental male need to know that the children he is providing for are his own.

Because of the Republican landslide last November and the actions of Newt Gingrich & Co., we have been hearing

quite a bit about such issues as "welfare reform" and "social service" budget-cutting. Predictably this elicited the usual howling and moaning from the race and class warriors of the liberal left. Any such cuts are said to be "mean-spirited," "divisive" and an "attack on America's children." Just a few days ago I read an angry article by some Negress—herself a former welfare mother—in which she viciously attacked the "meanness" of those who had so audaciously proposed such things as work requirements for welfare recipients, along with cutbacks in welfare benefits.

Whenever you hear the word "children" in this context, keep in mind that it is the product of a consciously formulated strategy. Marian Wright Edelman, the black woman who heads the Children's Defense Fund and is Queen Hillary's close confidant, once advised her fellow minorityites that white America was becoming increasingly resistant to the racial Mau-Mauing. Therefore blacks and browns should henceforth frame their endless appeals for government loot in terms of "meeting the needs of America's children." This nifty end around play, by massaging the honkies' soft and sentimental instincts, ultimately proves even more successful in effecting the desired goal of placing taxpayer dollars into grasping, dusky hands! With each passing day "America's children" become more disproportionately minority. Nowhere is this more true than in the ranks of those children whose "needs" are to be met by government funding rather than by the work and where-withal of their own parents.

So when that black woman was denouncing what she saw as white, Republican "meanness," she was really attacking our reluctance to pay for the requirements of her children. This brings us back to Charlie Rose's anthropologist and her observation about the near universality in both the human and animal kingdom of the male's reluctance to provide for another male's children. Liberal-minority "anti-meanness" tirades are nothing less than an attack on human nature itself. Taxpayer reluctance to fund AFDC-type welfare giveaways is the product of a sociobiological outlook with the deep, possible roots in the human psyche.

It is interesting to contrast this underlying sentiment about welfare payments with attitudes about defense spending. A real staple of liberal Democratic rhetoric, particularly during the Reagan years, was that Republicans were perfectly willing to waste huge amounts of money, such as the infamous \$600 toilet seats in the Pentagon, on national defense and armaments, while begrudging poor welfare mothers even their subsistence-level monthly checks. Let us strip this particular argument down to its essentials. Just as resentment over welfare spending is grounded in sociobiological ideas about personal responsibility—and nowhere more so than in providing for the next generation. Every caveman was quite aware that he had an ineluctable responsibility to contribute in whatever way that was required to the defense needs of his particular clan or tribe.

When Republican conservatives adopt a generally "pro-defense" and "anti-welfare" set of public policies, they are sailing with the winds of human nature. Like it or not, round-the-clock screaming about "meanness" is not going to do one damn thing towards changing these basic attitudes.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

Nineteenth-century nationalism was based mainly on language; 21st-century nationalism will be based mainly on race. It is high time that someone wrote in to protest against the desire to integrate minorityites of non-European origin by insisting that they learn the English language. The United Kingdom has two official languages: English and Welsh, with Gaelic Scots accepted for certain purposes (TV programmes) in the Scottish Highlands and Islands. Norman French as spoken in the Channel islands is, alas, dying, but is still enshrined in laws passed by the ancient "States" (parliaments) of Jersey, Guernsey and Alderney, as it is in mediaeval laws in both England and Ireland. Lallans (Lowland Scots) is a respectable dialect with a long literary and legal history of its own. It would also have been a good idea, in my opinion, if Irish Gaelic had been accepted in the courts while the whole of Ireland was part of the United Kingdom, just as Welsh now is in Wales. The English have not gained much by assimilating other peoples. Some truly cooperated with the English. Most formed disgruntled proletariats.

Michael Wharton, who for many years wrote a column in the Daily Telegraph, never lost an opportunity to show reverence for the Welsh, and sometimes for the Irish, language. He was the only Jew I ever heard of who consistently spoke out in favour of preserving English culture. If the editor of *Instauration* ever accepts my idea of publishing articles about the Righteous Jew of the Year, Wharton would be my nominee.

In America, Majority members, threatened by a huge influx of legal and illegal Spanish-speaking immigrants, have rightly insisted that states bordering on Mexico should continue to conduct all official business in English. However, it is a terrible mistake to try to integrate non-European immigrants by making them all speak English. Let them remain separate—prior to repatriation.

The American melting-pot idea, promoted by unrighteous Jews, depends on inducing immigrants to learn English so that they can be culturally assimilated. Back in the 1930s, someone wrote a kooky little book called *The Education of Hymie Kaplan*. Hymie was a lovable Jew whose mistakes in English were the despair of his liberal WASP teacher. What the teacher was doing, though he didn't know it, was to ensure that Hymie and his friends would eventually be able to take over New York.

Lord Macaulay responded to the demands of the alumni of the Hindu College in Calcutta by making English the official language in India. Before that, Persian, a flowery language highly suitable for poetry and diplomacy, was used in all the Indian courts, Hindu as well as Muslim, while Urdu retained its place at the Mogul court and Sanskrit was used by Hindus for religious purposes. An India divided by language was very much in the interests of the British Raj. What do we have instead? Mobs of immigrants from the subcontinent who can readily establish themselves in England because they already speak English—of a sort.

Was assimilation through language ever a good idea? Take the German immigrants who came to the U.S. They were certainly the best educated of the major immigrant groups, and proved so assimilable that, unless isolated in religious communities of Anabaptist origin (Amish and Hutterites), they lost their language and most of their culture within a couple of generations. In fact,


the Germanic peoples in general were more like the Anglo-Saxons than were the Celtic peoples, as is shown by their greater degree of assimilation.

Many opinion-formers argue that the more people speak English the better for us. That argument may have held water during the 19th-century, when the British Empire dominated world trade. Foreign businessmen, perfectly capable of learning English, all too often discuss important issues in their own language without English speakers being able to understand. It is much better that we should learn their languages. The Germans and the Dutch are way ahead of us in international trade, and the Japanese are not disadvantaged because of their poor English. (Our Japanese is even poorer.) English has become a sort of debased *lingua franca*. It lets the foreigner into our counsels and keeps us out of theirs.

Even before the war, my mother used to say to me, "Darling, we speak an utterly unknown tongue." She meant that not many people spoke standard British English. Back in the 19th century Professor Sweet (the model for Professor Higgins in Bernard Shaw's *Pygmalion*) claimed that farm labourers in England had a vocabulary of only 600 words or so. He was forgetting that they also had a big vocabulary of plants, birds, farm implements and weather terms, which they have now largely lost. But the betrayal of the people by their teachers is exemplified in the impoverished dialects spoken by the proletariat of the inner cities, with four-letter words used as interjections to the point of stultification. In America the situation is no better. A recent researcher was surprised to find that the letters home of private soldiers during the American Civil War were a great deal more literate than the essays of college students today.

When it comes down to it, the state of American English is no worse than that of British English. Both are dominated by the minority-tilted media. I often meet Americans who speak clearly and concisely, with a large vocabulary, and I think back on how much I owe to that wonderful introduction to the English language in the *Heritage Dictionary*—not to speak of the extremely useful Indo-European roots at the end of that reference work. I think of Alfred C. Baugh, that great editor of the *History of the English Language*, of *Moby Dick*, *Huckleberry Finn* and a whole range of American literature, mainly written by Anglo-Saxons, which is part of our British heritage too. I feel like the Scot who recently said that he didn't want to regard Shakespeare as a foreign author.

Languages are not equal, though each is capable of expressing sentiments dear to the hearts of its speakers. But only languages with large vocabularies can be used for scientific purposes. A language is rich insofar as it preserves its capacity to express nuances. I revere the dialects which have historical roots, but not all the dialects have the necessary nuances. British and American Prolespeak is not worth learning, any more than is Black English. We should be helping our own people to realise to the full the possibilities of their own language. Let's not waste time teaching it to actual or potential immigrants. We should also sympathise more with other peoples who likewise wish to preserve their language and culture. Better a lot of Maya-speaking Zapatistas in Chiapas than a mass of English-speaking Mexicans in the U.S.





Canada. Among its various positive attributes, democracy is supposed to include "free speech." That being the case, what does that make Canada, a country where, if you have anything controversial to say anent modern history, you are either pilloried by the national media, dragged into court, fined or even jailed.

Columnist Doug Collins, an unappreciated champion of free speech on the order of Galileo and John Peter Zenger, was called before the British Columbia Press Council for daring to write a less than exuberant review of *Schindler's List*. The small "d" democrats who infect Canada's thought police, believing that the very thought of such a critical film review demands punishment, want his column removed from the North Shore News, a suburban Vancouver paper.

The attacks on him in the Press Council hearing were so irrational and hysterical that in the end the Council had no choice but to dismiss the complaint. Doug still faces, however, a hearing before the British Columbia Human Rights Commission, which is another cup of tea. That inquisitorial outfit has the power to fine him up to \$100,000 (Canadian) for spreading "hate."

Punishment for exercising free speech in Canada may be greatly increased if Ontario Attorney General Harnick has his way. He is proposing a bill that (1) criminalizes membership in certain organizations; (2) expands the definition of identifiable groups; (3) eliminates truth as a defense for those charged with crimes.

In a Sept. 3 column calling for resuscitating the death penalty in Canada, Doug Collins ticked off these statistics:


- Seventy-two murders in Canada have been committed by convicted killers released after serving time for previous murders, in and out of prison.
- The homicide rate in the United Kingdom doubled after the death penalty was junked.
- Some clergymen want to reduce the mandated 25-year-sentence for convicted murderers to 15 years.
- It costs Canadian taxpayers \$50,000 a year to maintain a murderer in jail.

As an added reason to restore capital punishment, Collins reminded his readers about Paul Bernardo who, with his wife, was found guilty last summer of torturing two young Majority women to death, while taping their screams. Bernardo was jailed for "life," which means he will be eligible for parole in 25 years. His wife,

though equally guilty of the ghastly crimes, got a reduced sentence of 12 years for turning state's evidence.

Britain. Britain's Foreign Secretary is Malcolm Rifkind. Britain's Defence Secretary is Michael Portillo. They are both close friends and disciples of David Hart, the plutocratic guru who sticks his nose into practically all aspects of Britain's domestic and foreign affairs. In return for his advice (orders?), both Rifkind and Portillo have begun a campaign to get Hart knighted. The trio, as the British media have tactfully explained, just happen to be Jewish. The present British Cabinet is beginning to resemble Billary's.

The British magazine, the Economist, rivals Time in its snide attacks on Western civilization. In an unctuous article (Sept. 9) on black heavyweight champ Frank Bruno, the rag mag threw in this grandissimo smear: "The British do not go in for tough guys; they produce Hugh Grants, not Arnold Schwarzeneggers."



Saatchi and Saatchi, the octopean international Jewish ad agency, printed the above poster as its contribution to Britain's "All Different, All Equal Youth Campaign." Saatchi to the contrary, Negro brains are smaller than Asian and white brains, as proved by many carefully conducted cranial measurements over past decades, the latest by J. Philippe Rushton, the Canadian psychologist. No matter. Falsification is second nature to admen.

British scientists have been sending up warning signals about "endocrine pollution," the pollution of waste water by various man-made chemicals, particularly those contained in detergents. The effect of some of these effluents, which imitate the action of estrogens (female hormones) is exactly what would be expected—the gradual feminization of trout, carp, sea

gulls and other living creatures that come in contact with polluted water. It has already been suggested that endocrine pollution is responsible for the fall in the sperm count of human males, which in Paris has been declining at the rate of 2% to 3% per year.

Because rumormongers claimed a young mother had been hit and manhandled by police, British-born Asians started a riot last summer in Bradford, a textile manufacturing town. By the time the looting, burning and window-smashing had been brought under control, the damage had mounted to £500,000.

In his book, *Churchill and the Jews*, Professor Manuel Cohen writes: "[The Churchills] had a close association with the English branch of the Rothschilds, who loaned them money, and pulled strings on their behalf." Apparently the relationship between these two families is still going strong. Lord Rothschild, who heads the Heritage Lobby Fund, has taken on the job of bailing out the financially strapped Winston Churchill, grandson of the wartime Prime Minister.

When the U.S. media report on Israeli gangster operations in America and elsewhere, they call the crooks Russians. The British press is more honest. It calls them Israeli Mafiosos and members of the Kasher Nostra. Amid yelps of protest from the Jewish community in London, Dr. Barry Ryder, former adviser to the Committee on Organized Crime, told the government Home Affairs Bureau, headed by Jewish M.P. Sir Ivan Lawrence, that Jews were and are involved in large-scale money-laundering operations worldwide and have close connections with Chinese mobsters in Hong Kong and Jewish gangsters in Britain and America.

Recent Judeophilic quotes reported in the Guardian Weekly (Aug. 27):

- "The Jews are a superior people intellectually" (*The Sacred Chain* by Jewish historian Norman Cantor).
- "[Jews are] a fragment of the divine presence" (Jonathan Sacks, Britain's Chief Rabbi).
- "Almost all the people who have made billions through insider deals are Jews" (Taki, in the Spectator).
- "Jewish men are good in bed" (Arena magazine).

From a subscriber. "Drool Britannia!" The Right Rev. David Hope, Bishop of London, after announcing he was bisexual, was promptly promoted to the Church of

England's highest post, Archbishop of York. Britannia is indeed drooling. The imploded empire on which the sun is now forever setting, appears to be not long for this world. Walking down the street of any large city in England, you wouldn't know where the hell you were, particularly during Third World festivals.

In Dublin you always know where you are. But the Irish tend to be such trucklers. If they had the opportunity to be Third Worldized, they'd take it, just to mooch their way into the Big Boys club.

France. *From a subscriber.* I recently had lunch with a French parachutist captain just back from Sarajevo. He told me that all the parties involved in the war are equally sadistic. He also said some members of charity organizations are making small fortunes smuggling items across and behind "enemy lines." Ukrainian and Russian soldiers report that they seldom succeed in delivering food to besieged towns because it is pirated en route.

After eight bomb attacks this summer and fall, the French are beginning to behave like Lebanese. They become cautious about public dustbins, Arabs with parcels, and lonely suitcases in the corners of airports and bus terminals. The media and government officials accuse Islamic revolutionaries from Algeria. Surprisingly unmentioned have been Front National members or neo-Nazis, quite a change compared to the Paris synagogue bomb attacks in the 80s. In those days anyone remotely racist was demonized. Anyone who even pointed his finger at Arabs was evil.

Reinforcing Le Pen's dictum about stopping and reversing immigration, the bombings give the lie to Mitterrand's unwise old saw, "*Ils sont chez eux chez nous.*" (They are at home in our home.) Frenchmen are also having second thoughts about the equally false statement from Jewish groups, "*Immigration est un bien pour la France.*" (Immigration is good for France.)

Black has never been beautiful to the French, despite the media's intense endeavors. Indeed black is now perceived as dangerous. The media praise of anything Arab, Muslim, black or brown has been brutally toned down. The more Le Pen is proved right about immigration, the more he will be accused of indecency for having unveiled the truth too early. If the French government doesn't get off the dime and start solving the immigration problem, Le Pen may soon be leading the most influential political party in France.

Le Pen strongly supported, though with profound apologies because they are also President Chirac's views, the nuclear tests in the South Pacific. It is interesting that Israel was conspicuously silent about them. It would not be surprising to learn that the Zionist state has more than a passing interest in French atomic weaponry improvements. Chirac, incidentally, has offered to share with Germany what French scientists have learned from the tests.

Germany. Hans Schmidt, a Wehrmacht veteran who moved to the United States after WWII and became a U.S. citizen, was literally grabbed by the German police as he was boarding an airliner in Frankfurt to return to the U.S. He had been visiting his old fatherland for both sentimental and medical reasons. Apparently he had written a few words in one of his recent newsletters that offended the German authorities. At last report he is still being held without bail in a prison in Bützow. The judge held a press conference, before the trial was even scheduled, declaring that Schmidt was guilty of all charges. From a distance, however, it looks like Schmidt's only crime was "committing free speech."

Austria. Homosexuals in Vienna have "outed" four Catholic bishops. The homos apparently made this embarrassing disclosure as part of their campaign to have the age of consent for homosexual acts lowered from 18 to 14, the same age that applies to heterosexuals.

Russia. Boris Yeltsin is quickly learning the ways of the West, especially the art of buttering up pols. According to Prime Minister Viktor Chemomyrdin, the Russian President is committed to building a Holocaust Museum in Moscow.

While Richard Holbrooke is acting as a reincarnation of Henry Kissinger by scurrying about between Sarajevo, Zagreb, Belgrade and Washington, stirring up peace (or possibly more war), a different kind of American mediator showed up in Moscow. David Duke flew to the Russian capital to try to arrange for the release of a Louisiana clergymen, charged with currency violation. In the course of his visit, Duke hunkered down with Vladimir Zhirinovskiy, the wild-eyed, partly Jewish pol who collects headlines by making outlandish racist pronouncements. In the event that Zhirinovskiy should ever become president of Russia, Duke's first-hand opinion of the man ought to be sought by the American president. We can be sure it won't be.

Duke, by the way, has announced he will run for the Senate in 1996.

Bosnia. The recognition of Bosnia as a sovereign state was a colossal blunder. Never more than an administrative district established by the Ottomans and a philatelist's delight because of its eye-catching stamps, Bosnia's entire ethnic population consists of Slavs. Some of these Slavs converted, or were forced to convert, to Islam during the Turkish occupation. Many Slav children were taken away by the Turks and forced to serve the Ottoman Empire—the boys as janissaries, the girls as harem attractions.

Any attempt by the U.S. to maintain an independent Muslim state or federated state in ex-Yugoslavia will be as unsuccessful as the attempt made after WWI to fuse Czechs and Slovaks into a single nation. Europe already has a serious problem with millions of followers of the Islamic faith. If Muslims in Europe continue to multiply, the Jewish question of the 20th century could well become the Muslim question of the 21st. Croatian President Tudjman's proposal to divide the former Yugoslavia into a greater Serbia and a greater Croatia appears to be the more sensible solution.

Although in recent weeks Bosnian Muslims and Croats have been just as guilty, if not more so, of aggressive warfare than the Serbs, the NATO air force, composed largely of American warplanes, has blasted Serbian positions with bombing runs, not to mention cruise missiles. Despite all the peace talks, the Serbs and their Slavic cousins in Russia perceive that America is solidly on the side of the Croats and Muslims. As is well documented by European history, people in the cauldron once known as Tito-land have long memories. So do the Russians, who have always taken a profound interest in Balkan affairs.

What good does it possibly do for Americans to make enemies of the best fighters in the Balkans? What good does it do to plant 20,000-plus G.I.s in the middle of a Balkan war, as Clinton has promised to do, once peace is declared? Will the day ever come when we will have a president and a media that encourage us to stay out of rather than intervene in foreign wars?

Gambia. The military government has outlawed skin-bleaching products.

Zambia. Miss Zambia, a white, will represent this 99% all-black country in the upcoming Miss World contest to be held in South Africa.